

---

**Supplemental Material: Chapter 6 (Cardiovascular Effects)**  
**Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter**

2019

Center for Public Health and Environmental Assessment  
Office of Research and Development  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Research Triangle Park, NC

## **Contents**

- Table S6-1. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-2  
Table S6-2. Study details and mean and upper percentile concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from included short-term cardiovascular hospital admission and emergency department visit studies  
Table S6-3. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-3  
Table S6-4. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-4  
Table S6-5. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-5  
Table S6-6. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-6  
Table S6-7. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-7  
Table S6-8. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-8  
Table S6-9. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-9  
Table S6-10. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-10  
Table S6-11. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-11  
Table S6-12. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-12  
Table S6-13. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-13  
Table S6-14. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-14  
Table S6-15. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-15  
Table S6-16. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-17  
Table S6-17. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-18  
Table S6-18. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-19  
Table S6-19. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-20  
Table S6-20. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-21  
Table S6-21. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-22  
Table S6-22. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-31  
Table S6-23. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-32  
Table S6-24. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-34  
Table S6-25. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-35

## Supplemental Tables for Chapter 6 (Cardiovascular Effects)

**Table S6-1. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-2**

Study	Location	Mean (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Averaging Time	Lag (days)	Notes	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<b>IHD</b>						
<a href="#">Dominici et al. (2006)</a>	204 U.S. Urban counties	13.4	24-h avg	2	Ages 65+	1.00 (1, 1.01)
<a href="#">Barnett et al. (2006)</a>	4 Australian cities	8.1-9.7	24-h avg	0-1	Ages 65+	1.04 (1.02, 1.06)
<a href="#">Host et al. (2007)</a>	6 French cities	13.8-18.6	24-h avg	0-1	Ages 65+	1.05 (1.02, 1.07)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	213 U.S. counties	12.3	24-h avg	0	Ages 65+	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2014)</a>	7 Mid-Atlantic states and Washington, D.C.	11.9	2-d avg	0-1	Ages 65+	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Haley et al. (2009)</a>	8 New York cities	5.8	24-h avg	1	Ages 65+	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	4 NY Regions		24-h avg	0	NYC, Long Island, and Hudson	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
					Adirondack & North	1.01 (0.98, 1.03)
					Mohawk Valley & Birmingham	1.00 (0.97, 1.02)
					Central & Western New York	1.00, (0.99, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	7 U.S. states	6.5-12.8	24-h avg	0-2	Florida	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)
					Massachusetts	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)
					New Jersey	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
					New Hampshire	0.99 (0.94, 1.03)
					New Mexico	0.97 (0.90, 1.04)
					New York	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)

					Washington	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a>	8 CA counties	16.5	24-h avg.	0		1.01 (1.00, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	15 Conurbations in England and Wales	10	24-h avg	0-4		0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
<a href="#">†Sarnat et al. (2015)</a>	St. Louis, Missouri- Illinois Metropolitan	18	24-h avg	0-2		1.01 (0.98, 1.03)
<b>MI</b>						
<a href="#">Zanobetti and Schwartz (2006)</a>	Boston, Massachusetts	11.1	24-h avg	0		1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	15 Conurbations in England and Wales	10	24-h avg	0-4		1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
					STEMI	0.98 (0.97, 1.00)
					NSTEMI	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	213 U.S. counties	12.3	24-h avg	0	Ages 65+	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Zanobetti et al. (2009)</a>	26 U.S. cities	15.3	2-d avg	0-1	Ages 65+	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<b>Angina/MI</b>						
<a href="#">†Stieb et al. (2009)</a>	6 Canadian cities	6.7-9.8	24-h avg	0		1.02 (0.98, 1.07)
<b>Angina</b>						
<a href="#">†Szyszkowicz (2009)</a>	6 Canadian cities	8.3	24-h avg	0		1.02 (1.01, 1.04)
<b>MI</b>						
<a href="#">†Weichenthal et al. (2016)</a>	16 cities in Ontario, Canada	6.9	24-h avg	0-2		1.03 (1.00, 1.08)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	7 U.S. states	6.5-12.8	24-h avg	0-2	Florida	1.00 (0.99, 1.02)
					Massachusetts	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)
					New Jersey	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)
					New Hampshire	1.01 (0.95, 1.07)

					New Mexico	0.99 (0.90, 1.08)
					New York	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
					Washington	1.01 (0.99, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a>	8 California counties	16.5	Overall avg	2		1.01 (0.98, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Rich et al. (2010)</a>	New Jersey	7.6-12.3	24-h avg	0-23		1.02 (0.98, 1.07)
					Transmural MI	1.09 (1.01, 1.18)
					Non-Transmural MI	0.99 (0.94, 1.05)
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2015)</a>	3 Utah cities	9.9 - 10.6	24-h avg	0	STEMI	1.08 (1.01, 1.16)
					NSTEMI	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)
					Unstable Angina	1.04 (1.00, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Gardner et al. (2014)</a>	Rochester, NY	8	1-h avg	0	STEMI	0.91 (0.69, 1.21)
					NSTEMI	1.03 (0.77, 1.37)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

IHD = ischemic heart disease; MI = myocardial infarction; STEMI = ST segment elevation MI; NSTEMI = non-ST segment elevation MI; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported.

**Table S6-2. Study details and mean and upper percentile concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from included short-term cardiovascular hospital admission and emergency department visit studies**

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
<a href="#">Barnett et al. (2006)</a> Four Australian cities (1998-2001)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors Sydney, 2 monitors Melbourne and Perth, 1 monitor Brisbane.	IHD, Heart Failure, CBVD, CVD, Cardiac Diseases Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 8.1 to 9.7 (NR) (across four cities) Max: 29.3 to 122.8 (across four cities)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Bell et al. (2008)</a> 202 U.S. counties (1999-2010)	Monitors in county averaged	CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	NR	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Burnett et al. (1999)</a> Toronto, Canada (1980-1994)	1 monitor PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> values not available for full study period. Values estimated from single TSS monitor.	PVD	24-h avg: 18.0 (NR) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 22.0 Max: 90.0	No quantitative results presented. Authors state that there was a negative association.

<b>Study</b>	<b>Exposure Assessment</b>	<b>Outcome Assessment</b>	<b>Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</b>	<b>Copollutant Examination</b>
<a href="#">Dominici et al. (2006)</a> 204 U.S. Urban counties (1999-2002)	Monitors in county averaged Number NR. Study population reside an average of 5.9 miles from monitor. Median pairwise correlation between same-county monitors 0.91.	IHD, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, PVD, CBVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 13.4 (IQR 3.9) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 15.2	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Host et al. (2007)</a> Six French cities (2000-2003)	Monitors in city averaged 4 monitors Paris, 1 monitor Toulouse, 2 monitors other cities. Residents within 20 km. Between-monitor $r > 0.60$ .	IHD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 13.8 to 18.6 (NR) (across six cities) 95 <sup>th</sup> : 25.0 to 33.0 (across six cities)	No copollutant models examined $r = 0.28-0.73 \text{ PM}_{10-2.5}$ across cities.
<a href="#">Host et al. (2008)</a> Six French cities (2000-2003)	Monitors in city averaged 4 monitors Paris, 1 Toulouse, 2 other cities. Residence within 20 km. Between-monitor $r > 0.6$	CVD, Cardiac Diseases	24-h avg: 13.8 to 18.6 (across six cities) 95 <sup>th</sup> : 25.0 to 33.0 (across six cities)	No copollutant models examined $r = 0.28-0.73 \text{ PM}_{10-2.5}$ across cities
<a href="#">Levy et al. (2001)</a> Seattle, Washington (1988-1994)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors $R^2$ to $\text{PM}_{2.5} = 0.85$ .	OHCA Age 25-75 yr Married and in-person interview	24-h avg: 18.4 (NR) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 23.0 Max: 96.0	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Rosenthal et al. (2008)</a> Indianapolis, Indiana (2002-2006)	1 monitor 2002 data from separate monitor. $R^2 = 0.87$ .	OHCA	24- avg Median: 13.9 75 <sup>th</sup> : 19.5 90 <sup>th</sup> : 25.8	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Sullivan et al. (2003)</a> Seattle, Washington (1985-1994)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors $R^2$ to $\text{PM}_{2.5} = 0.85$ .	OHCA	24-h avg: 0.71 ( $\times 10^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \text{ bsp}$ ) IQR: 13.8 ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Zanobetti and Schwartz (2006)</a> Boston, Massachusetts (1995-1999)	1 monitor Data missing for 1998.	MI Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg Median: 11.1 (IQR 8.9) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 16.1	No copollutant models examined $r = 0.66 \text{ BC}, 0.55 \text{ NO}_2, 0.52 \text{ CO}, 0.20 \text{ O}_3, 0.74 \text{ PM non-traffic}$ .
<a href="#">†Basagaña et al. (2015)</a> Four cities in Spain and Italy (2003-2013)	1 monitor per city Madrid monitor urban, other 3 cities urban background	CVD	24-h avg: 20.7 to 27.6 (across four cities)	No copollutant models examined $\text{PM}_{10}$ correlation NR. ( $\text{PM}_{10}$ and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ monitors not available in same cities).
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2014)</a> Four counties in Massachusetts and Connecticut	1 monitor per county for 3 counties, one CT county used populated weighted average of 2 monitors	CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 14.0 (9.4) Median: 11.7	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
(2000-2004)				
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Bell et al. (2015)</a> 213 U.S. counties (1999-2010)	Monitors in county averaged	IHD, MI, Heart Failure, Heart Rhythm Disturbance, PVD, CBVD, CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 12.3 (NR) Max: 20.2	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Bravo et al. (2017)</a> 708 U.S. counties (2002-2006)	Fused-CMAQ Downscaler Model CMAQ combined with monitoring data, census tract estimates used to predict county level 24h PM <sub>2.5</sub> .	CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	Mean: 12.60 (NR)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Brook and Kousha (2015)</a> Calgary and Edmonton, Canada (Jan. 2010-Dec. 2011)	Average of monitors in 35 km of patient zip code centroid	Hypertension	24-h avg Calgary: Median: 10.1 Max: 138.4 Edmonton: Median: 8.1 Max: 156.3	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Bunch et al. (2011)</a> Wasatch Front, Utah 1994-2006	3 monitors	Atrial Fibrillation	24-h avg: 10.1-11.1 (across 3 monitors)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Caussin et al. (2015)</a> Paris, France (2003-2008)	Monitors in city averaged. 22 monitors in metro Paris	MI	24-h avg: 15.2 (IQR 9.6) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 18.6	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.61$ NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.43 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.51 CO, -0.09 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.95 PM <sub>10</sub>
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Chang et al. (2015)</a> Kaohsiung City, Taiwan (2006-2010)	Monitors in city averaged 6 monitors	IHD, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, Stroke	24-h avg: 45.88 (NR) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 61.88 Max: 144.37	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , and CO.. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.80 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.25 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.81 CO, 0.42 O <sub>3</sub>
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Chen et al. (2014)</a> Edmonton, Canada (1998-2002)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors	Acute Ischemic Stroke Age $\geq$ 25 yr	1-h avg: 8.53 (8.66) 95 <sup>th</sup> : 22.00	No copollutant models examined $r = 0.43$ NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.15 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.48 CO, -0.15 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.79 PM <sub>10</sub>
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Chen et al. (2015)</a>	See <a href="#">Chang et al. (2015)</a> above.			PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , CO, O <sub>3</sub> . $r$ (Pearson) = 0.80 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.25 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.81 CO, 0.42 O <sub>3</sub>
<sup>†</sup> <a href="#">Chiu et al. (2013)</a> Taipei, Taiwan (2006-2010)	Monitors in city averaged 6 monitors	Arrhythmia	24-h avg: 29.99 75 <sup>th</sup> : 36.92 Max: 140.54	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.61 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 CO, 0.31 O <sub>3</sub>

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a> Taipei, Taiwan (2006-2010)	Monitors in city averaged 6 monitors	Ischemic Stroke	24-h avg: 29.99 75 <sup>th</sup> : 36.92 Max: 140.54	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.61 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 CO, 0.31 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a> Taipei, Taiwan (2006-2010)	Monitors in city averaged 6 monitos	Hemorrhagic Stroke	24-h avg: 29.99 75 <sup>th</sup> : 36.92 Max: 140.54	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.61 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 CO, 0.31 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Claeys et al. (2015)</a> All 9 Provinces (2006-2009) Belgium	Monitors in country averaged. 73 monitors	MI	24-h avg: 20.1 (14.5) Max = 112	No copollutant models examined. $r$ = 0.93 PM <sub>10</sub> , -0.35 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Dales et al. (2010)</a> Santiago, Chile (Apr. 1998-Aug. 2005)	Monitor values assigned to central and adjacent municipalities. 6 monitors	VTE, PE	24-h avg: 32.99 IQR: 20.02	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations varied regionally: $r$ = 0.73-0.92 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.72-0.83 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.4-0.83 CO, -0.32 to -0.14 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.85-0.92 PM <sub>10</sub>
<a href="#">†Dennekamp et al. (2010)</a> Melbourne, Australia (2003-2006)	1 monitor	OHCA Age $\geq$ 35 yr	24-h avg: 6.35 (NR) IQR: 4.26 75 <sup>th</sup> : 7.45	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO (graphical only). $r$ = 0.49 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.13 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.55 CO
<a href="#">†Ensor et al. (2013)</a> Houston, Texas (2004-2011)	Monitors in city averaged 12 monitors	OHCA Age $\geq$ 18 yr	1-h avg: 11.42 (5.98) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 14.37 95 <sup>th</sup> : 22.8  24-h avg: 11.42 (4.73) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 13.71 95 <sup>th</sup> : 20.96	No copollutant models examined. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.24 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.05 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.34 CO, 0.01 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Franck et al. (2011)</a> Leipzig, Germany (Feb. 2002-Jan. 2003)	Monitors in city averaged Number monitors NR. City approx. 200 km <sup>2</sup>	Hypertension	24-h avg: 20.61 (12.89) Max: 84.06	No copollutant models examined. Association with UFP $r$ = -0.06 UFP
<a href="#">†Gardner et al. (2014)</a> Rochester, New York (2007-2010)	1 monitor 1,500m from interstate highway	MI	1-h avg: 8.0 (5.7) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 10.2 Max: 43.0	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.

<b>Study</b>	<b>Exposure Assessment</b>	<b>Outcome Assessment</b>	<b>Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</b>	<b>Copollutant Examination</b>
<a href="#">†Haley et al. (2009)</a> Eight New York cities (2001-2005)	Weighted averages across monitors in each city 39 monitors in total.	IHD, Heart Failure, Rhythm/Conduction, PVD, CBVD	24-h avg: 5.8 (IQR 5.9)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 8.0 Max: 42.2	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Hsieh et al. (2013)</a> Taipei, Taiwan (2006-2010)	Monitors in city averaged 6 monitors	Heart Failure	24-h avg: 29.99 (NR)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 36.92 Max: 140.54	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , CO. $r$ (Pearson) = 0.61 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.54 CO, 0.31 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a> 4 New York Regions (1991-2006)	Adjusted CMAQ-simulated model (see <a href="#">Hogrefe et al. (2009)</a> ) 12x12 km grid resolution with patient residential address	IHD, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, Hypertension, CBVD, CVD	Graphically reported only	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Ito et al. (2011)</a> New York City (2000-2006)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors	CVD Age $\geq$ 40 yr	24-h avg: 14.44 (8.53)	No copollutant models examined $r$ cold= 0.80 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.66 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.77 CO, warm = 0.63 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.57 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.52 CO
<a href="#">†Kim et al. (2012)</a> Denver, Colorado (2003-2007)	1 monitor 90% of 5 county population within 25 km of monitor	IHD, Heart Failure, CBVD, CVD	24-h avg: 7.98 (5.08)  Max: 59.41	No copollutant models examined. $r$ = 0.30 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.26 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.23 CO, 0.23 SO <sub>2</sub>
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2012)</a> Six New England states (2000-2008)	LUR modelling at 10 x 10 km spatial resolution using satellite-derived AOD measurements. Cross-validation $R^2$ = 0.85.	CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	24-h avg: 9.6 (4.9)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 11.7 Max: 72.6	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2014)</a> Seven Mid-Atlantic states and Washington, D.C. (2000-2006)	LUR modelling at 10 x 10 km spatial resolution using satellite-derived AOD measurements. Cross-validation $R^2$ = 0.81.	IHD, Stroke, CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	2-d avg: 11.92 (5.68)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 14.65 Max: 95.85	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2015)</a> Northeastern U.S. (13 states) (2000-2008)	LUR modelling at 10 x 10 km spatial resolution using satellite-derived AOD measurements. Cross-validation $R^2$ using monitors within 10 km: 0.82.	DVT, PE Age $\geq$ 65 yr	2-d mvg avg: 12.6 (6.8)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 15.9 Max: 96.0	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Lall et al. (2011)</a> New York City (2001-2002)	1 monitor	CVD	24-h avg: 17.26 (9.79)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.

<b>Study</b>	<b>Exposure Assessment</b>	<b>Outcome Assessment</b>	<b>Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</b>	<b>Copollutant Examination</b>
<a href="#">†Lisabeth et al. (2008)</a> Nueces County, Texas (2001-2005)	1 monitor	Ischemic Stroke Transient Ischemic Attacks Age $\geq 45$ yr	24-h avg Median: 7.0 IQR: 4.8-10.0	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with O <sub>3</sub> . Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a> 15 Conurbations in England and Wales (2003-2009)	Nearest monitor to patient's residence (50 km). Number NR.	IHD, MI, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, Atrial Fibrillation, PE, CBVD, CVD	24-hr avg Median: 10.0 (IQR 8.0) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 15.0	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.48$ CO, 0.53 NO <sub>2</sub> , -0.10 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.86 PM <sub>10</sub> , 0.41 SO <sub>2</sub>
<a href="#">†O'Donnell et al. (2011)</a> Eight cities in Ontario, Canada (2003-2008)	Monitors in city averaged 7 monitors Toronto, 6 monitors Hamilton, 1 monitor London, Ottawa, Kingston, North Bay, Thunder Bay, Sudbury. Excluded if > 50, 40, or 20 km from monitor in analyses.	Acute Ischemic Stroke	24-h avg: 6.9 (6.3) (across eight cities)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a> 8 California counties (2005-2009)	Nearest monitor Within 20 km of population-weighted centroid of zip code	IHD, MI, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, CVD	Overall mean: 16.5 (IQR: 11.4) (across 8 counties)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Peng et al. (2009)</a> 119 U.S. counties (2000-2006)	Monitors in county averaged Most counties contain 2 monitors, 12 counties with 1. Within county $r$ 0.85 (0.83-0.95)	CVD Age $\geq 65$ yr	24-h avg: 11.79 Median: 9.4	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2015)</a> Utah's Wasatch Front (1993-2014)	Nearest monitor to patient's residence (zip code metro area) 3 monitors. Missing data imputed. 3 monitors used correlated with surrounding monitor sites ( $R^2 = 0.74-0.94$ )	Angina, MI	24-h avg Ogden: 9.9 (9.2) Max: 108 Salt Lake City: 10.6 (11.0) Max: 94 Provo/Orem: 10.0 (10.2) Max: 123	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Qiu et al. (2013)</a> Hong Kong, China (2000-2005)	1 monitor	IHD, CBVD, CVD	24-h avg: 39.4 75 <sup>th</sup> : 50.1	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> . $r = 0.68$ PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> , 0.79 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.46 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.47 O <sub>3</sub>
<a href="#">†Rappold et al. (2012)</a> 40 Rural North Carolina counties (2008)	Satellite-derived wildfire smoke emission estimates. HYSPLIT model, 13.5 km <sup>2</sup> grid of surface layer (lowest 100m estimates)	Heart Failure	24-h avg: NR Max. daily smoke related PM <sub>2.5</sub> : 4 to 129	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
<a href="#">†Raza et al. (2014)</a> Stockholm, Sweden (2000-2010)	Monitors in city averaged Number NR.	OHCA	24-h avg: 8.1 (NR) IQR: 4.81 Max: 161.7	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.24 \text{ NO}_2, 0.17 \text{ O}_3\text{-urban}, 0.25 \text{ O}_3\text{-rural}, 0.19 \text{ PM}_{10-2.5}$
<a href="#">†Rich et al. (2010)</a> New Jersey (2004-2006)	Nearest monitor to patient's residence (10 km) 7 monitors	MI	Median: 7.6 to 12.3 (across seven monitors)  75 <sup>th</sup> : 2.8 to 18.8 (across seven monitors)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , CO. $r = 0.44 \text{ SO}_2, 0.44 \text{ NO}_2, 0.33 \text{ CO}, 0.19 \text{ O}_3$
<a href="#">†Rodopoulou et al. (2014)</a> Dona Ana County, New Mexico (2007-2010)	Monitors in county averaged 3 monitors	CVD Age $\geq 18$ yr	24-h avg: 10.9 (NR) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 13.0 Max: 55.6	No copollutant models examined $r = -0.05 \text{ O}_3, 0.41 \text{ PM}_{10}$
<a href="#">†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)</a> Little Rock, Arkansas (2002-2012)	1 monitor 60% residents within 10km	Heart Failure & Hypertensive Heart Disease, Arrhythmia, Hypertension, CVD	24-h avg: 12.4 (5.9) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 15.6	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with O <sub>3</sub> Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">Rosenthal et al. (2008)</a> Indianapolis, Indiana (2002-2006)	1 monitor 2002 data from separate monitor. $R^2 = 0.87$ .	OHCA	24-h avg Median: 13.9 75 <sup>th</sup> : 19.5 90 <sup>th</sup> : 25.8	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2016)</a> London, UK (2011-2012)	1 monitor Urban background site	CVD	24-h avg: 12.2 (IQR: 8) 90 <sup>th</sup> : 25.0	No copollutant models $r = 0.28 \text{ O}_3, 0.66 \text{ NO}_2, 0.58 \text{ CO}, 0.49 \text{ SO}_2$
<a href="#">†Sarnat et al. (2015)</a> St. Louis, MO (8 counties MO, 8 counties IL) (2001-2003)	1 monitor  3 km from city center. Between monitor correlations for 12 monitors in study area $r = 0.45 - 0.96$ , median 0.84	IHD, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, CVD	24-h avg: 18.0 (8.3) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 22.7 Max: 48.7	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.35 \text{ NO}_2, 0.25 \text{ CO}, 0.23 \text{ O}_3, 0.08 \text{ SO}_2$
<a href="#">†Shih et al. (2011)</a> 40 U.S. cities (1993-1998)	National scale spatial interpolation by kriging using U.S. EPA AQS monitors PM <sub>2.5</sub> data 1999-2004 only	VTE Women, ages 50-79 yr	24-h avg: 13.5 (7.7)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Silverman et al. (2010)</a> New York, New York	Monitors in city averaged 33 monitors located within 32 km radius of NYC center	OHCA	24-h avg Median: 12 IQR: 10 75 <sup>th</sup> : 18	No copollutant models examined.

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
(2002-2006)			95 <sup>th</sup> : 30	$r_{\text{warm}} = 0.77 \text{ NO}_2, 0.66 \text{ SO}_2, 0.67 \text{ CO}, -0.43 \text{ O}_3$ $r_{\text{cold}} = 0.54 \text{ NO}_2, 0.51 \text{ SO}_2, 0.40 \text{ CO}, 0.61 \text{ O}_3$
<a href="#">†Stafoggia et al. (2013)</a> Eight European cities (2001-2010)	Monitors in city averaged Number NR.	CVD Age $\geq 15$ yr	24-h avg: 17.2 to 34.4 (across eight cities)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> . $r_{\text{close to 0}}$ Barcelona, Marseille, Rome, $\geq 0.5$ other cities PM-10-2.5. $> 0.6 \text{ NO}_2$
<a href="#">†Stieb et al. (2009)</a> Six Canadian cities (1992-2003)	Monitors in city averaged. 1 monitor Halifax, Ottawa, Vancouver, 3 Edmonton, 7 Montreal, and Toronto	Angina/MI, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia	24-h avg: 6.7 to 9.8 75 <sup>th</sup> : 8.5 to 11.3	No copollutant models examined. $r = -0.05$ to 0.62 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.27 to 0.51 NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.01 to 0.55 SO <sub>2</sub> , 0.01 to 0.42 CO.
<a href="#">†Straney et al. (2014)</a> Perth, Australia (2000-2010)	Nearest monitor to arrest location. 4 available PM <sub>2.5</sub> monitors.	OHCA Age $\geq 35$ yr	1-h avg Median: 6.8 75 <sup>th</sup> : 9.8 95 <sup>th</sup> : 17.7	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Szyszkowicz (2009)</a> Six Canadian cities (1992-2003)	Monitors in city averaged Number NR.	Angina	24-h avg: 8.3 (5.6)	No copollutant models examined. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Szyszkowicz et al. (2012)</a> Edmonton, Canada (1992-2002)	Average of 3 monitors Max distance apart 10 km <a href="#">Zemeck et al. (2010)</a>	Hypertension All ages	24-h avg: 8.5 (6.2) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 10.9	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.76 \text{ PM}_{10}, 0.39 \text{ NO}_2, 0.32 \text{ CO}, 0.21 \text{ SO}_2, 0.05 \text{ O}_3$
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a> Seven U.S. states (2001-2009)	Fuse-CMAQ CMAQ model combined with monitoring data, downscaled to Census Tract resolution.	IHD, MI, Heart Failure, Arrhythmia, PVD, CBVD, CVD	24-h avg: 6.46 to 12.83 (2.55 to 7.66) (across seven states) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 7.64 to 16.55 (across seven states)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> results adjusted for O <sub>3</sub> and temperature. Non-adjusted results NR. Copollutant correlations NR.
<a href="#">†Villeneuve et al. (2012)</a> Edmonton, Canada (2003-2009)	Monitors in city averaged 3 monitors	Stroke Hemorrhagic Stroke Ischemic Stroke Transient Ischemic Attacks Ages $\geq 20$ yr	24-h avg: 8.1 (5.8) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 10.2	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , CO, O <sub>3</sub> .. Copollutant correlations NR.

Study	Exposure Assessment	Outcome Assessment	Mean (SD) and Upper Percentile Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Copollutant Examination
<sup>†</sup> Weichenthal et al. (2016) 16 cities in Ontario, Canada (2004-2011)	Nearest monitor to patient's population-weighted postal code centroid	MI All Ages	24-h avg: 6.91 (5.97) Max: 56.8	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with NO <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>3</sub> , or NO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>3</sub> oxidative potential. $r = 0.51$ NO <sub>2</sub> , -0.49 O <sub>3</sub> .
<sup>†</sup> Wellenius et al. (2012) Boston, Massachusetts (1999-2008)	1 monitor Patients excluded if > 40 km, sensitivity analysis at > 20 km	Acute Ischemic Stroke	24-h avg: 10.2 (6.0) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 12.5	No copollutant models examined. $r = 0.46$ NO <sub>2</sub> , 0.35 CO, 0.24 O <sub>3</sub>
<sup>†</sup> Wichmann et al. (2013) Copenhagen, Denmark (2000-2010)	1 monitor Restricted to cases ~5 km of monitor.	OHCA	24-h avg: 10.16 (5.31) 75 <sup>th</sup> : 11.57	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with O <sub>3</sub> . $r$ (Spearman) = 0.37 NO <sub>x</sub> , 0.40 NO <sub>2</sub> , -0.11 O <sub>3</sub> , 0.37 CO, 0.34 UFP, 0.10 PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>
<sup>†</sup> Wing et al. (2015) Nueces County, Texas (2000-2012)	1 monitor 85% cases within 20 km, median distance 6.9 km	Ischemic Stroke Age $\geq$ 45 yr	24-h avg: 7.7 (NR) IQR: 5.7-10.6	PM <sub>2.5</sub> with O <sub>3</sub> . Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> Yitshak Sade et al. (2015) Southern Israel (2005-2012)	Hybrid model at 1 x 1 km spatial resolution using LUR and satellite-derived AOD. Out-of-sample cross-validation $R^2 = 0.72$	Ischemic Stroke Hemorrhagic Stroke	24-h avg Winter: 21.9 (9.9) Spring: 21.6 (8.4), Summer: 20.4 (4.1) Fall: 20.2 (6.2)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.
<sup>†</sup> Zanobetti et al. (2009) 26 U.S. cities (2000-2003)	Monitors in county averaged 1 to 4 monitors per county. Monitor data discarded if between-monitor correlation < 0.8	MI, Heart Failure, CVD Age $\geq$ 65 yr	2-d avg: 15.3 (8.2) (across 26 cities)	No copollutant models examined Copollutant correlations NR.

<sup>†</sup>Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment. Studies from the 2009 PM ISA are listed first, followed by studies published since the 2009 PM ISA, which are then listed by alphabetical order, and then by year published.

NR = not reported, RR = relative risk, OR = odds ratio, HR = hazard ratio, IQR = interquartile range, max = maximum, %ile = percentile, SD = standard deviation, PM<sub>2.5</sub> = particulate matter with mean aerodynamic diameter 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> = particulate matter with mean aerodynamic diameter between 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , PM<sub>10</sub> = particulate matter with mean aerodynamic diameter 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , CO = carbon monoxide, NO<sub>2</sub> = nitrogen dioxide, SO<sub>2</sub> = sulfur dioxide. IHD = Ischemic Heart Disease, MI = Myocardial Infarction, OHCA = Out of Hospital Cardiac Arrest, CBVD = Cerebrovascular Disease, PVD = Peripheral Vascular Disease, VTE = Venous Thromboembolism, PE = Pulmonary Embolism, DVT = Deep Vein Thrombosis, CVD = Aggregated Cardiovascular Diseases.

**Table S6-3. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-3**

Study	Location	Averaging Time	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Lag (days)	Notes	Relative Risk (95% CI)
		HF				

<b>Study</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Averaging Time</b>	<b>Mean (ug/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Lag (days)</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>Relative Risk (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">Dominici et al. (2006)</a>	204 U.S. counties	24-h avg	13.4	0	Ages 65+	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)
<a href="#">Barnett et al. (2006)</a>	4 Australian cities	24-h avg	8.1-9.7	0-1	Ages 14-64	1.08 (1.00, 1.17)
					Ages 65+	1.10 (1.05, 1.15)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	213 U.S. counties	24-h avg	12.3	0	Ages 65+	1.01 (1.01, 1.015)
<a href="#">†Zanobetti et al. (2009)</a>	26 U.S. cities	2-d avg	15.3	0-1	Ages 65+	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	7 U.S. states	24-h avg	6.5-12.8	0-2	Florida	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
					Massachusetts	1.02 (0.00, 1.03)
					New Jersey	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
					New Hampshire	1.02 (1.00, 1.02)
					New Mexico	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)
					New York	1.03 (1.02, 1.03)
					Washington	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	4 NY regions	24-h avg	NR	0	NYC, Long Island	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
					Adirondack & North	0.97 (0.92, 1.01)
					Mohawk Valley & Binghamton	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)
					Central & Western NY	1.00 (0.98, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Haley et al. (2009)</a>	8 New York cities	24-h avg	5.8	0		1.02 (1.01, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a>	8 CA counties	Overall avg	16.5	1		1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Stieb et al. (2009)</a>	6 Canadian cities	24-h avg	8.2	1		1.08 (1.00, 1.17)
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	15 conurbations in England and Wales	24-h avg	10 (Med.)	0-4		1.01 (0.99, 1.02)

Study	Location	Averaging Time	Mean (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Lag (days)	Notes	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<b>HF &amp; HHD</b>						
<a href="#">†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)</a>	Little Rock, AR	24-h avg	12.4	1		0.99 (0.93, 1.05)
<b>HF</b>						
<a href="#">†Sarnat et al. (2015)</a>	St. Louis, MO	24-h avg	18.0	0-2		1.01 (0.98, 1.05)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment  
 HF = heart failure, HHD = hypertensive heart disease, NR = not reported

**Table S6-4. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-4**

Study	Location	Outcome	Notes	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Lag (days)	% Increase (95% CI)
<a href="#">Dominici et al. (2006)</a>	204 U.S. counties	Arrhythmia	Age 65+	13.4	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	213 U.S. counties	Arrhythmia	Age 65+	12.3	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	7 U.S. states	Arrhythmia	Massachusetts	6.5-12.8	0	1.02 (1.00, 1.03)
			New Jersey			1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
			New York			1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	4 NY regions	Arrhythmia	NYC, Long Island & Hudson	NR	0	1.02 (1.01, 1.02)
			Central & Western NY			1.00 (0.97, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	15 conurbations in England and Wales	Arrhythmia		10 (Med.)	0-4	0.99 (0.97, 1.00)
		Atrial fibrillation				0.98 (0.97, 1.00)
<a href="#">†Stieb et al. (2009)</a>	6 Canadian cities	Arrhythmia		6.7-9.8	0	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Haley et al. (2009)</a>	8 New York cities	Arrhythmia		5.8	0	0.99 (0.99, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a>	8 CA counties	Arrhythmia		16.5	0	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Sarnat et al. (2015)</a>	St. Louis, MO	Arrhythmia		18.0	0-2	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)</a>	Little Rock, AR	Arrhythmia	All	12.4	1	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)
			Cold Season			0.97 (0.88, 1.06)
			Warm Season			1.02 (0.94, 1.11)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.  
avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported.

**Table S6-5. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-5**

Study	Outcome	Location	Notes	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Lag (days)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<a href="#">Dominici et al. (2006)</a>	CBVD	204 U.S. counties	Age 65+	13.4	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	CBVD	213 U.S. counties	Age 65+	12.3	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2012)</a>	Stroke	6 New England states	Ages 65+	9.6	0-1	1.00 (01.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2014)</a>	Stroke	7 Mid-Atlantic states	Ages 65+	11.9	0-1	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Haley et al. (2009)</a>	CBVD	8 New York cities		5.8	0	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
<hr/>						
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	Stroke	15 Conurbations in England and Wales		10 (Med.)	0-4	0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	CBVD	7 U.S. states	Massachusetts	6.5-12.8	0	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
			New Hampshire			0.96 (0.92, 1.01)
			New York			1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	CBVD	4 NY regions	NYC, Long Island & Hudson	NR	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
			Central & Western NY			1.00 (0.99, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Villeneuve et al. (2012)</a>	Stroke	Denver, CO	Age 20+	8.1	0	1.02 (0.97, 1.09)
	Hemorrhagic Stroke					1.02 (0.85, 1.20)
	Ischemic Stroke					1.05 (0.97, 1.16)
	Transient Ischemic Attacks					0.98 (0.90, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Wellenius et al. (2012)</a>	Acute Ischemic Stroke	Boston, MA		10.2	0-24h	1.18 (1.05, 1.33)
<a href="#">†Lisabeth et al. (2008)</a>	Ischemic Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attacks	Nueces County, TX	Age 45+	7.0	1	1.06 (1.00, 1.14)

<b>Study</b>	<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>Mean (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</b>	<b>Lag (days)</b>	<b>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">Wing et al. (2015)</a>	Ischemic Stroke	Nueces County, TX	Age 45+	7.7	0	1.11 (0.96, 1.28)
<a href="#">O'Donnell et al. (2011)</a>	Acute Ischemic Stroke	8 cities in Ontario	Subjects < 20km from monitor	6.9	0-47h	0.99 (0.94, 1.05) 0.98 (0.93, 1.04)

<sup>†</sup>Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CBVD = cerebrovascular disease, avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported.

**Table S6-6. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-6**

Study	Location	Notes	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Lag (days)	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>					
<a href="#">Bell et al. (2008)</a>	202 U.S. counties	Age 65+	NR	0	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<a href="#">Host et al. (2008)</a>	6 French cities		13.8-18.8	0-1	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
					1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<a href="#">Barnett et al. (2006)</a>	4 Australian cities		8.1-9.7	0-1	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
					1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2012)</a>	6 New England states	Age 65+	9.6	0-1	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Kloog et al. (2014)</a>	7 Mid-Atlantic states	Age 65+	11.9	0-1	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Bravo et al. (2017)</a>	708 US counties	Age 65+	12.6	0	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	4 NY regions	NYC, Long Island, & Hudson	NR	0	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
		Adirondack & North			1.00 (0.98, 1.02)
		Mohawk Valley & Binghamton			1.00 (0.98, 1.03)
		Central & Western NY			1.00 (0.99, 1.00)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2015)</a>	213 U.S. counties	Age 65+	12.3	0	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Peng et al. (2009)</a>	119 U.S. counties	Age 65+	11.8	0	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Zanobetti et al. (2009)</a>	26 U.S. cities	Age 65+	15.3	0-1	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Bell et al. (2014)</a>	4 counties, MA & CT	Age 65+	14	0	1.02 (1.00, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2016)</a>	8 CA counties		16.5	0	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Talbott et al. (2014)</a>	7 U.S. states	Florida	6.5-12.8	0-2	1.00 (0.99, 1.00)
		Massachusetts			1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
		New Jersey			1.01 (1.01, 1.02)
		New Hampshire			0.99 (0.97, 1.01)

Study	Location	Notes	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Lag (days)	Relative Risk (95% CI)
†Stafoggia et al. (2013)	New Mexico	New Mexico			1.01 (0.97, 1.05)
		New York			1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
		Washington			0.99 (0.98, 1.00)
†Basagaña et al. (2015)	8 European cities	Age 15+	17.2-34.4	0-1	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
†Milojevic et al. (2014)	4 cities, Spain & Italy		20.7-27.6	0	1.02 (1.01, 1.04)
†Kim et al. (2012)	Denver, CO		8	0-1	1.01 (1.00, 1.04)
†Rodopoulou et al. (2014)	Little Rock, AR		12.4	1	1.00 (0.97, 1.01)
†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)	Dona Ana County, NM	Age 65+			0.98 (0.94, 1.02)
		ED visit	10.9	1	1.05 (0.97, 1.01)
		HA			0.99 (0.94, 1.04)
		ED visit (65+)			1.08 (0.97, 1.21)
		HA (65+)			0.98 (0.93, 1.05)
†Sarnat et al. (2015)	St. Louis, MO		18.0	0-2	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CVD = cardiovascular disease, avg = average; CI = confidence interval; ED = emergency department; HA = hospital admissions; NR = not reported.

**Table S6-7. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-7**

Study	Location	Outcome	Lag (days)	% Increase (95% CI)
†Lee et al. (2015b) <sup>a</sup>	3 Southeast states, U.S.	CVD	0-1	2.32 (1.57, 3.07)
		CHF		3.64 (1.35, 5.99)
		MI		1.12
		Stroke		0.55
†Dai et al. (2014)	75 U.S. cities	CVD	0-1	1.18 (0.93, 1.44)
		MI		1.22 (0.62, 1.82)
		Stroke		1.76 (1.01, 2.52)

Study	Location	Outcome	Lag (days)	% Increase (95% CI)
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2013)</a>	10 European Med cities	CVD	0-1	0.57 (0.07, 1.08)
<a href="#">†{Samoli, 2014, 2443450@ @author-year}</a>	10 European Med cities	Cardiac		0.59 (-0.11, 1.3)
		CHF		-2.43 (-6.23, 1.51)
		Cerebrovascular		0.32 (-0.64, 1.3)
		Acute Coronary Events		-0.29 (-1.5, 0.93)
		Arrhythmias		1.72 (-0.57, 4.11)
<a href="#">†Pascal et al. (2014)</a>	9 French cities	CVD	0-1	0.7 (-0.2, 1.6)
		Cardiac		0.6 (-0.5, 1.7)
		IHD		0.6 (-1.1, 2.3)
		Cerebrovascular		1.5 (-0.9, 4)
<a href="#">†Milojevic et al. (2014)</a>	England and Wales	CVD	0-1	0.87 (-0.25, 2.05)
		CHF		-2.01 (-6.70, 2.85)
		MI		0.69 (-2.01, 3.40)
		Stroke		1.74 (-0.50, 4.01)
		IHD		1.31 (-0.44, 2.97)
<a href="#">†Shah et al. (2015)</a>	Meta-analysis	Stroke	NR	1.20 (1.10, 1.20)
<a href="#">†Wang et al. (2014)</a>	Meta-analysis	Stroke	NR	1.40 (0.90, 1.90)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

<sup>a</sup>[Lee et al. \(2015b\)](#) did not provide 95% confidence intervals for the MI and stroke results.

CHF = congestive heart failure; CVD = cardiovascular; MI = myocardial infarction; IHD = ischemic heart disease; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported.

% increases are standardized to a 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in 24-h average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.

**Table S6-8. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-8**

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
				CVD		

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<a href="#">Jalaludin et al. (2006)</a>	Sydney, Australia	0		$O_3$	0.57	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)
						1.03 (1.02, 1.04)
<a href="#">Stafoggia et al. (2013)</a>	8 European cities	0-1		$O_3$	NR	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
						1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">Hsu et al. (2017)</a>	4 NY regions	0	NYC, Long Island	$O_3$	NR	1.01 (1.01, 1.02)
						1.01 (1.01 (1.02))
		Central NY		$O_3$	NR	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
						1.00 (0.99, 1.01)
<a href="#">Rodopoulou et al. (2015)</a>	Little Rock, AR	1		$O_3$	NR	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)
						0.99 (0.98, 1.01)
<b>IHD</b>						
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI	--		$O_3$	NR	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
						1.02 (0.97, 1.05)
<a href="#">Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2		$O_3$	0.42	1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
						1.09 (1.08, 1.11)
<a href="#">Weichenthal et al. (2016)</a>	Ontario, Canada	0	Myocardial Infarction	$O_3$	-0.49	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
						1.08 (1.00, 1.06)
<a href="#">Rich et al. (2010)</a>	New Jersey	0	Transmural Infarction	$O_3$	0.19	1.07 (0.97, 1.19)
						1.07 (0.97, 1.19)
<a href="#">Chang et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Myocardial Infarction	$O_3$	0.31	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)
						1.03 (1.01, 1.06)
<b>Heart Failure</b>						
<a href="#">Burnett et al. (1999)</a>	Toronto, Canada	0-3	Heart Disease	$O_3$	0.32	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
						1.01 (0.93, 1.10)
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI	--		$O_3$	NR	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
						1.06 (1.01, 1.08)

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)	Little Rock, AR	1		$O_3$	NR	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)
						1.00 (0.93, 1.06)
†Chang et al. (2015)	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season	$O_3$	0.42	1.11 (1.08, 1.14)
						1.1 (1.06, 1.12)
†Hsieh et al. (2013)	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season	$O_3$	0.31	1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
						1.06 (1.04, 1.09)
<b>Arrhythmia</b>						
†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)	Little Rock, AR	1		$O_3$	NR	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)
						0.98 (0.92, 1.04)
†Chang et al. (2015)	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season	$O_3$	0.42	1.12 (1.08, 1.16)
						1.10 (1.06, 1.14)
†Chiu and Yang (2013)	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season	$O_3$	0.31	1.06 (1.03, 1.08)
						1.06 (1.03, 1.09)
<b>OHCA</b>						
†Dennekamp et al. (2010)	Melbourne, Australia	0-1		$O_3$	0.13	1.09 (1.03, 1.14)
						1.09 (0.95, 1.21)
†Wichmann et al. (2013)	Copenhagen, Denmark	0-1		$O_3$	-0.11	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)
						0.97 (0.88, 1.07)
†Rosenthal et al. (2013)	Helsinki, Finland	0-3		$O_3$	<0.06	1.15 (0.91, 1.43)
						0.74
<b>CBVD</b>						
†Rodopoulou et al. (2015)	Little Rock, AR	1		$O_3$	NR	0.97 (0.85, 1.09)
						1.01 (0.89, 1.15)
†Chang et al. (2015)	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Stroke	$O_3$	0.42	1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
						1.10 (1.08, 1.11)

<b>Study</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Lag (days)</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>Copollutant</b>	<b>Correlation</b>	<b>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">†Lisabeth et al. (2008)</a>	Nueces County, TX	1	TIA	$O_3$	NR	1.06 (1, 1.14)
						1.06 (0.98, 1.12)
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Ischemic Stroke	$O_3$	0.31	1.06 (1.05, 1.08)
						1.06 (1.04, 1.078)
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Hemorrhagic Stroke	$O_3$	0.31	1.07 (1.04, 1.10)
						1.07 (1.034, 1.11)
<b>CVD Mortality</b>						
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2013)</a>	Multicity, Europe	0-5		$O_3$	<0.4	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
						1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Lee et al. (2015a)</a>	Multicity, Asia	0-1		$O_3$	NR	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
						1.01 (1.01, 1.02)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

TIA = transient ischemic attack; CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; NR = not reported;  $O_3$  = ozone.

Associations are presented per  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-9. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-9**

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>						
<a href="#">Moolgavkar (2003)</a>	Los Angeles, CA	0		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.73	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
						1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">Jalaludin et al. (2006)</a>	Sydney, Australia	0		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.45	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)
						1.02 (1.00, 1.04)
<a href="#">Stafoggia et al. (2013)</a>	8 European cities	0-1		NO <sub>2</sub>	>0.6	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
						1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<b>IHD</b>						
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI			NO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
						1.03 (0.98, 1.06)
<a href="#">Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
						1.05 (1.03, 1.08)
<a href="#">Weichenthal et al. (2016)</a>	Ontario, Canada	0	Myocardial Infarction	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.51	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)
						1.02 (0.99, 1.04)
<a href="#">Rich et al. (2010)</a>	New Jersey	0	Transmural Infarction	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.44	1.09 (1.00, 1.19)
						1.07 (0.96, 1.20)
<a href="#">Chang et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Myocardial Infarction	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.54	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)
						0.99 (0.97, 1.02)
<b>Heart Failure</b>						
<a href="#">Burnett et al. (1999)</a>	Toronto, Canada	0-3	Heart Disease	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.45	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
						1.02 (0.95, 1.09)
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI			NO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
						1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
<a href="#">Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	1.11 (1.08, 1.14)
						1.06 (1.03, 1.10)

<b>Study</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Lag (days)</b>	<b>Notes</b>	<b>Copollutant</b>	<b>Correlation</b>	<b>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">†Hsieh et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.54	1.07 (1.05, 1.09) 1.01 (0.98, 1.05)
<b>Arrhythmia</b>						
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	1.12 (1.08, 1.16) 1.05 (1.00, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.54	1.06 (1.03, 1.08) 1.00 (0.97, 1.03)
<b>OHCA</b>						
<a href="#">†Dennekamp et al. (2010)</a>	Melbourne, Australia	0-1		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.49	1.09 (1.03, 1.15) 1.00 (0.86, 1.19)
<a href="#">†Rosenthal et al. (2013)</a>	Helsinki, Finland	0-3		NO <sub>2</sub>	<0.6	1.15 (0.91, 1.43) 1.13
<b>CBVD</b>						
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Stroke	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.8	1.11 (1.10, 1.13) 1.06 (1.04, 1.08)
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Ischemic Stroke	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.54	1.06 (1.05, 1.08) 1.00 (0.98, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Hemorrhagic Stroke	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.54	1.07 (1.04, 1.10) 1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
<b>CVD Mortality</b>						
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2013)</a>	Multicity, Europe	0-5		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.3-0.8	1.01 (1.00, 1.02) 1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Lee et al. (2015a)</a>	Multicity, Asia	0-1		NO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.01 (1.00, 1.01) 1.01 (1.00, 1.09)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NO<sub>2</sub> = nitrogen dioxide; NR = not reported.

Associations are presented per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-10. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-10**

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>						
<a href="#">Moolgavkar (2003)</a>	Los Angeles, CA	0				1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.42	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)
<a href="#">Jalaludin et al. (2006)</a>	Sydney, Australia	0				1.03 (1.01, 1.04)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.27	1.02 (1.01, 1.04)
<b>IHD</b>						
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI					1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season			1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.13 (1.12, 1.16)
<a href="#">†Rich et al. (2010)</a>	New Jersey	0	Transmural Infarction			1.07 (0.98, 1.17)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.44	1.09 (0.98, 1.22)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Myocardial Infarction; Cool Season			1.03 (1.01, 1.05)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.09 (1.06, 1.12)
<b>HF</b>						
<a href="#">Burnett et al. (1999)</a>	Toronto, Canada	0-3	Heart Disease			1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.49	1.02 (0.96, 1.08)
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI					1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season			1.11 (1.08, 1.14)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.14 (1.10, 1.17)
<a href="#">†Hsieh et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season			1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.08 (1.06, 1.11)
<b>Arrhythmia</b>						
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>		0-2	Cool Season			1.12 (1.08, 1.16)

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
	Kaohsiung, Taiwan			SO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.14 (1.10, 1.18)
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season			1.06 (1.03, 1.08)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.07 (1.03, 1.09)
<b>OHCA</b>						
<a href="#">†Rosenthal et al. (2013)</a>	Helsinki, Finland	0-3				1.15 (0.91, 1.43)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	<0.6	1.07
<b>CBVD</b>						
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Stroke; Cool Season			1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.14 (1.12, 1.16)
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Tapei, Taiwan	0-2	Ischemic Stroke; Warm Season			1.06 (1.05, 1.08)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a>	Tapei, Taiwan	0-2	Hemorrhagic Stroke; Warm Season			1.07 (1.04, 1.10)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	0.61	1.07 (1.04 (1.11))
<b>CVD Mortality</b>						
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2013)</a>	Multicity, Europe	0-5				1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
				SO <sub>2</sub>	<0.4	1.01 (0.99, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Lee et al. (2015a)</a>	Multicity, Asia	0-1				1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
				NR		1.01 (1.00, 1.01)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; HF = heart failure; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported; SO<sub>2</sub> = sulfur dioxide.

Associations are presented per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-11. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-11**

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>						
<a href="#">Moolgavkar (2003)</a>	Los Angeles, CA	0		CO	0.58	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
						1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">Jalaludin et al. (2006)</a>	Sydney, Australia	0		CO	0.35	1.03 (1.01, 1.04)
						1.01 (0.99, 1.03)
<b>IHD</b>						
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI			CO	NR	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
						1.02 (0.97, 1.05)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2		CO	0.81	1.11 (1.10, 1.13)
						1.05 (1.03, 1.08)
<a href="#">†Rich et al. (2010)</a>	New Jersey	0	Transmural Infarction	CO	0.33	1.07 (0.98, 1.18)
						1.09 (0.98, 1.20)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Myocardial Infarction	CO	0.54	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)
						1.02 (0.99, 1.05)
<b>HF</b>						
<a href="#">Burnett et al. (1999)</a>	Toronto, Canada	0-3	Heart Disease	CO	0.42	1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
						1.03 (0.98, 1.07)
<a href="#">Ito (2003)</a>	Detroit, MI			CO	NR	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
						1.04 (1.00, 1.07)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Cool Season	CO	0.81	1.11 (1.8, 1.14)
						1.06 (1.02, 1.11)
<a href="#">†Hsieh et al. (2013)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season	CO	0.54	1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
						1.01 (0.98, 1.03)
<b>Arrhythmia</b>						
		0-2	Cool Season			1.12 (1.08, 1.16)

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Notes	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan			CO	0.81	1.04 (0.99, 1.10)
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Taipe, Taiwan	0-2	Warm Season			1.06 (1.03, 1.08)
				CO	0.54	0.99 (0.97, 1.03)
<b>OHCA</b>						
<a href="#">†Dennekamp et al. (2010)</a>	Melbourne, Australia	0-1				1.09 (1.03, 1.15)
				CO	0.55	1.02 (0.92, 1.11)
<a href="#">†Rosenthal et al. (2013)</a>	Helsinki, Finland	0-3				1.15 (0.91, 1.43)
				CO	<0.6	0.90
<b>CBVD</b>						
<a href="#">†Chang et al. (2015)</a>	Kaohsiung, Taiwan	0-2	Stroke			1.15 (0.91, 1.43)
				CO	0.81	0.90
<a href="#">†Chiu and Yang (2013)</a>	Taipe, Taiwan	0-2	Ischemic Stroke			1.06 (1.05, 1.08)
				CO	0.54	1 (0.98, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Chiu et al. (2014)</a>	Taipei, Taiwan	0-2	Hemorrhagic Stroke			1.07 (1.04, 1.10)
				CO	0.54	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CO = carbon monoxide; CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; HF = heart failure; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported.

Associations are presented per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-12. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-12**

Study	Location	Lag (days)	Copollutant	Correlation	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>					
<a href="#">Peng et al. (2008)</a>	Multicity, US	0			1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	0.12	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Stafoggia et al. (2013)</a>	8 European cities	0-1			1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	<0.5	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<a href="#">†Qiu et al. (2013)</a>	Hong Kong, China	0-1			1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	0.68	1.01 (1.01, 1.01)
<b>IHD</b>					
<a href="#">†Qiu et al. (2013)</a>	Hong Kong, China	0-1			1.01 (1.01, 1.02)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	0.68	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<b>OHCA</b>					
<a href="#">†Rosenthal et al. (2013)</a>	Helsinki, Finland	0-3			1.15 (0.91, 1.43)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	<0.6	0.86
<b>CBVD</b>					
<a href="#">†Qiu et al. (2013)</a>	Hong Kong, China	0-1			1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	0.68	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)
<b>CVD Mortality</b>					
<a href="#">†Samoli et al. (2013)</a>	Multicity, US	0-5			1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	<0.4	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Janssen et al. (2013)</a>	Netherlands	0-6			1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	0.29	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Lee et al. (2015a)</a>	Multicity, Asia	0-1			1.01 (1.00, 1.01)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	NR	1.01 (1.00, 1.01)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; HF = heart failure; OHCA = out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported; PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> = particulate matter with a nominal aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 µm and greater than a nominal diameter of 2.5 µm. Associations are presented per 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-13. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-17**

Study	Cohort	Outcome	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI-Women (post-menopause), 36 Urban sites, U.S.	CHD	1994-1998	13.4	1.10 (1.02, 1.19)
<a href="#">Hart et al. (2015)</a>	NHS-Women, 48 states, U.S.	CHD	1989-2006	13.4	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)
<a href="#">Puett et al. (2011)</a>	CTS -Women, Los Angeles, California, U.S.	MI	1999-2005	15.6	0.99 (0.91, 1.08)
<a href="#">Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, Men, 13 states, U.S.	Nonfatal M	1988-2002	17.8	1.08 (0.90, 1.28)
<a href="#">Madrigano et al. (2013)</a>	Worcester Heart Attack, MA, U.S.	Confirmed MI	1995-2003	9.4	1.21 (1.00, 1.38)
<a href="#">Hartiala et al. (2016)</a>	Cardiac Patients, Ohio, U.S.	MI	1998-2010	15.5	1.91 (1.05, 3.48)
<a href="#">Hoffmann et al. (2015)</a>	HNR study, Ruhr region Germany	Coronary Event	2008-2009	18.4	1.00 (0.38, 2.67)
<a href="#">Atkinson et al. (2013)</a>	GP Database, U.K.	MI	2003-2007	12.9	0.95 (0.85, 1.05)
<a href="#">Cesaroni et al. (2014)</a>	ESCAPE- 11 Cohorts Europe	IHD	2008-2011	7.3-31	1.13 (0.98, 1.30)
<a href="#">Tonne et al. (2015)</a>	MINAP, London, U.K.	Recurrent MI/Death	2003-2010	14.6	1.21 (0.93, 1.55)
<a href="#">Koton et al. (2013)</a>	8 Treatment Centers, Israel	Recurrent MI	2003-2005	23.9	1.30 (0.95, 1.70)

<sup>†</sup>Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CI = confidence interval; CHD = Coronary Heart Disease; CTS = California Teachers Study; ESCAPE = European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution; GP = General Practitioner; HNR = Heinz Nixdorf Recall study; HPFU = Health Professionals Follow-up Study; IHD = Ischemic Heart Disease; MI = Myocardial Infarction; MINAP = Myocardial Ischemia National Audit Project; NHS = Nurses Health Study; WHI = Women's Health Initiative.

Associations are presented per 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-14. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-18**

Study	Cohort	Outcome	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI-Women (post-menopause) 36 Urban Sites, US	Stroke	1994-1998	13.4	1.13 (1.01, 1.27)
<a href="#">Hart et al. (2015)</a>	NHS- Women, 48 states, U.S.	Stroke	1989-2006	13.4	1.01 (0.96, 1.05)
<a href="#">Lipsett et al. (2011)</a>	CTS -Women, Los Angeles, California, U.S.	Stroke	1999-2005	15.6	1.07 (0.99, 1.15)

<b>Study</b>	<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Outcome</b>	<b>Years</b>	<b>Mean (<math>\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3</math>)</b>	<b>Relative Risk (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, Men, 13 states, U.S.	IS	1988-2002	17.8	0.80 (0.61, 1.08)
<a href="#">Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, Men, 13 states, U.S.	HS	1988-2002	17.8	1.18 (0.74, 1.87)
<a href="#">Hartiala et al. (2016)</a>	Cardiac Patients, Ohio, U.S.	Stroke	1998-2010	15.5	1.17 (0.49, 2.87)
<a href="#">Stafoggia et al. (2014)</a>	ESCAPE- 11 Cohorts Europe	Stroke	2008-2011	7.3-31	1.19 (0.88, 1.62)
<a href="#">Hoffmann et al. (2015)</a>	HNR study, Ruhr area, Germany	Stroke	2008-2009	18.4	5.24 (1.39, 19.65)
<a href="#">Atkinson et al. (2013)</a>	GP Database, U.K.	Stroke	2003-2007	12.9	0.95 (0.87, 1.03)
<a href="#">Koton et al. (2013)</a>	8 Centers, Israel	Post MI Stroke	2003-2005	23.9	1.05 (0.71, 1.58)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CI = confidence interval; CTS = California Teachers Study; MI = Myocardial Infarction; IS = ischemic stroke; HS = hemorrhagic stroke; WHI = Women's Health Initiative; NHS = Nurses' Health Study; HPFU = Health Professional's Follow-up; ESCAPE = European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution; HNR = Heinz Nixdorf Recall; GP = General Practitioner.  
Associations are presented per 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-15. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-19**

Study	Cohort	Notes	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>					
<a href="#">†Lepeule et al. (2012)</a>	H6C		1974-2009	11.4-23.6	1.12 (1.07, 1.18)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2015)</a>	CA Teachers		2001-2007	17.9	1.03 (0.99, 1.06)
<a href="#">†Lipsett et al. (2011)</a>	CA Teachers		2000-2005	15.6	1.03 (0.97, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Hart et al. (2011)</a>	TrIPS	Whole cohort	1985-2000	14.1	1.03 (0.96, 1.09)
		Excluding long-haul drivers			1.03 (0.94, 1.12)
<a href="#">†Thurston et al. (2016)</a>	NIH-AARP		2000-2009	10.2-13.6	1.05 (1.02, 1.07)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI		1994-2003	13.4	1.33 (1.12, 1.57)
<a href="#">†Garcia et al. (2015)</a>	CA cohort	Kriging	2006	13.06	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)
		IDW		12.94	1.05 (1.04, 1.06)
		Nearest monitor		12.68	1.03 (1.03, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2014)</a>	ACS		1982-2004	12.6	1.06 (1.05, 1.07)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2012)</a>	CanCHEC		1991-2001	11.2	1.08 (1.06, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC	Extended	1991-2006	8.9	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)
<a href="#">†Pinault et al. (2016)</a>	CCHS		1998-2011	6.3	1.09 (1.04, 1.15)
<a href="#">†Chen et al. (2016)</a>	EFFECT		2001-2010	10.7	1.16 (1.04, 1.29)
<a href="#">†Weichenthal et al. (2014)</a>	Ag Health		1993-2009	8.84	1.07 (0.87, 1.31)
		More precise exposure			1.14 (0.92, 1.43)
<b>IHD</b>					
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2015)</a>	CA Teachers		2001-2007	17.9	1.09 (1.04, 1.15)
<a href="#">†Ostro et al. (2010)</a>	CA Teachers	Monitor within 30 km	2002-2007	17.5	1.70 (1.51, 1.92)
		Monitor within 8 km		17	1.84 (1.39, 2.44)
<a href="#">†Lipsett et al. (2011)</a>	CA Teachers		2000-2005	15.6	1.10 (1.01, 1.19)
<a href="#">†Hart et al. (2011)</a>	TrIPS	Whole cohort	1985-2000	14.1	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)

Study	Cohort	Notes	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
		Excluding long-haul drivers			
<a href="#">†Garcia et al. (2015)</a>	CA cohort	Kriging	2006	13.06	1.11 (1.10, 1.12)
		IDW		12.94	1.13 (1.12, 1.15)
		Nearest monitor		12.68	1.10 (1.09, 1.11)
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2014)</a>	ACS		1982-2004	12.6	1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
		HF, cardiac arrest, related			1.05 (1.02, 1.09)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2012)</a>	CanCHEC		1991-2001	11.2	1.14 (1.13, 1.16)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC	Extended	1991-2006	8.9	1.09 (1.07, 1.10)
<a href="#">†Pinault et al. (2016)</a>	CCHS		1998-2011	6.3	1.14 (1.06, 1.22)
<a href="#">†Chen et al. (2016)</a>	EFFECT		2001-2010	10.7	1.20 (1.06, 1.35)
		AMI			1.28 (1.06, 1.55)
<b>CHD</b>					
<a href="#">Chen et al. (2005)</a>	AHSMOG	Women	1977-1998	29	1.19 (1.03, 1.38)
		Men			0.95 (0.87, 1.02)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	Health Prof		1989-2003	17.8	0.96 (0.79, 1.17)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2009)</a>	Nurses Health		1992-2002	13.9	1.42 (1.03, 1.94)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI	Definite CHD	1994-2003	13.4	1.49 (1.08, 2.04)
		Probable CHD			1.12 (0.79, 1.60)
<a href="#">†Gan et al. (2011)</a>	Metro Vancouver		1999-2002	4.08	1.03 (0.94, 1.17)
<b>Hypertension</b>					
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2014)</a>	ACS		1982-2004	12.6	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)
<b>Circulatory</b>					
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2012)</a>	CanCHEC		1991-2001	11.2	1.08 (1.06, 1.09)
<b>CBVD</b>					
<a href="#">†Lipsett et al. (2011)</a>	CA Teachers		2000-2005	15.6	1.08 (0.96, 1.21)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI		1994-2003	13.4	1.35 (1.05, 1.73)

Study	Cohort	Notes	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
<a href="#">†Pope et al. (2014)</a>	ACS		1982-2004	12.6	1.05 (1.02, 1.08)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2012)</a>	CanCHEC		1991-2001	11.2	1.02 (0.99, 1.05)
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>		Extended	1991-2006	8.9	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)
<a href="#">†Pinault et al. (2016)</a>	CCHS		1998-2011	6.3	1.11 (0.99, 1.25)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

ACS = American Cancer Society Cohort; AHSOMG = Adventist Health and Smog Study; AMI = acute myocardial infarction; avg = average; CanCHEC = Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; CCHS = Canadian Community Health Survey; CHD = coronary heart disease; CI = confidence interval; CVD = cardiovascular disease; EFFECT = Enhanced Feedback For Effective Cardiac Treatment; HF = heart failure; H6C = Harvard Six Cities cohort; IDW = inverse distance weighting; IHD = ischemic heart disease; NIH-AARP = National Institutes of Health American Association of Retired Persons Diet & Health Cohort; NR = not reported; TrIPS = Trucking Industry Particle Study; WHI = Women's Health Initiative.

Associations are presented per 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-16. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-20**

Study	Cohort	Outcome	Copollutant	Correlation	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU Study	MI		NR	1.80 (0.90, 1.28)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>		1.03 (0.95, 1.12)
<a href="#">†Madrigano et al. (2013)</a>	Worcester Heart Attack	MI			3.27 (2.08, 5.03)
			PM <sub>2.5</sub> (local)	NR	3.52 (2.24, 5.39)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU Study	Ischemic Stroke			0.80 (0.61, 1.08)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	NR	0.69 (0.48, 0.95)
		Hemorrhagic Stroke			1.18 (0.74, 1.87)
			PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	NR	1.43 (0.83, 2.47)
<a href="#">†Fuks et al. (2014)</a>	ESCAPE	Hypertension			1.03 (0.99, 1.07)
			NO <sub>2</sub>	0.19-0.88	1.11 (1.00, 1.24)
		BPLM			1.06 (0.96, 1.17)
			NO <sub>2</sub>	NR	1.09 (0.98, 1.23)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CI = confidence interval; ESCAPE = European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution; HPFU = Health Professionals Follow-up Study; BPLM = blood pressure lowering medication; MI = myocardial infarction; NHS = Nurses' Health Study; NR = not reported; NO<sub>2</sub> = nitrogen dioxide; PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> = particulate matter with a nominal aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and greater than a nominal diameter of 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ; PM<sub>2.5</sub> = particulate matter with a nominal aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Table S6-17. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-21**

Study	Cohort	Copollutant	Correlation	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
<b>CVD</b>				
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC			1.03 (1.02, 1.04)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.73	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2009)</a>	ACS			1.07 (1.05, 1.09)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.64	1.10 (1.07, 1.12)
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2013)</a>	ACS – California			1.06 (1.02, 1.11)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.56	1.05 (1.01, 1.10)
<a href="#">†Thurston et al. (2016)</a>	NIH-AARP			1.05 (1.02, 1.07)
		O <sub>3</sub>	NR	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)
<a href="#">†Turner et al. (2016)</a>	ACS			1.04 (1.03, 1.06)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.49	1.04 (1.02, 1.05)
<b>IHD</b>				
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC			1.09 (1.07, 1.10)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.73	1.10 (1.09, 1.11)
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2009)</a>	ACS			1.10 (1.08, 1.13)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.64	1.14 (1.11, 1.18)
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2013)</a>	ACS – California			1.10 (1.04, 1.17)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.56	1.09 (1.03, 1.15)
<a href="#">†Turner et al. (2016)</a>	ACS			1.05 (1.03, 1.08)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.49	1.06 (1.04, 1.08)
<b>CHD</b>				
<a href="#">Chen et al. (2005)</a>	AHSMOG (Women)			1.19 (1.03, 1.38)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.60	1.41 (1.23, 1.62)
	AHSMOG (Men)			0.95 (0.87, 1.02)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.60	0.95 (0.88, 1.03)
<b>Circulatory</b>				

<b>Study</b>	<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Copollutant</b>	<b>Correlation</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</b>
†Turner et al. (2016)	ACS			1.04 (1.03, 1.06)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.49	1.04 (1.03, 1.06)
<b>CBVD</b>				
†Crouse et al. (2015)	CanCHEC			0.96 (0.94, 0.98)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.73	0.96 (0.94, 0.99)
†Turner et al. (2016)	ACS			1.05 (1.02, 1.09)
			0.49	1.05 (1.01, 1.09)
<b>Dysrhythmia</b>				
†Turner et al. (2016)	ACS			1.02 (0.98, 1.05)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.49	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)
<b>Stroke</b>				
†Jerrett et al. (2013)	ACS – California			1.06 (0.99, 1.14)
		O <sub>3</sub>	0.56	1.06 (0.99, 1.14)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

ACS: American Cancer Society Cohort; CanCHEC = Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort; CI = confidence interval; NIH-AARP = National Institutes of Health American Association of Retired Persons Diet & Health Cohort; AHSMOG = Adventist Health Air Pollution Study; CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; CHD = coronary heart disease; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; CPD = cardiopulmonary disease; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; NR = not reported; O<sub>3</sub> = ozone.

Associations are presented per 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-18. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-22**

<b>Study</b>	<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Copollutant</b>	<b>Correlation</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</b>
<b>CVD</b>				
†Crouse et al. (2015)	CanCHEC			1.03 (1.02, 1.04)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.40	1.06 (1.04, 1.07)
†Jerrett et al. (2013)	ACS – California			1.06 (1.02, 1.11)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.55	1.04 (0.99, 1.10)
<b>IHD</b>				
†Crouse et al. (2015)	CanCHECH			1.09 (1.07, 1.10)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.40	1.12 (1.10, 1.14)

<b>Study</b>	<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Copollutant</b>	<b>Correlation</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% CI)</b>
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2013)</a>	ACS – California			1.10 (1.04, 1.17)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.55	1.08 (1.01, 1.16)
<b>CHD</b>				
<a href="#">Chen et al. (2005)</a>	AHSMOG (Men)			0.95 (0.87, 1.02)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	0.92 (0.84, 1.02)
<b>AHSMOG (Women)</b>				
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.19 (1.03, 1.38)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.25	1.18 (1.02, 1.37)
<b>CBVD</b>				
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC			0.96 (0.94, 0.98)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.40	0.97 (0.95, 1.00)
<b>Stroke</b>				
<a href="#">†Jerrett et al. (2013)</a>	ACS – California			1.06 (0.99, 1.14)
		NO <sub>2</sub>	0.55	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)
<b>Cardiometabolic</b>				
<a href="#">†Crouse et al. (2015)</a>	CanCHEC	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.40	1.04 (1.03, 1.05)
				1.06 (1.05, 1.08)
<b>CHD</b>				
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2009)</a>	Nurses Health Study			1.42 (1.03, 1.94)
		PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	--	1.46 (1.03, 2.06)
<a href="#">Chen et al. (2005)</a>	AHSMOG (Women)			1.19 (1.03, 1.38)
		SO <sub>2</sub>	0.30	1.23 (1.08, 1.40)
<b>AHSMOG (Men)</b>				
		SO <sub>2</sub>	0.30	0.95 (1.08, 1.40)
		SO <sub>2</sub>	0.30	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

ACS = American Cancer Society Cohort; AHSMOG = Adventist Health Air Pollution Study; CanCHEC = Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort; CVD = cardiovascular; IHD = ischemic heart disease; CHD = coronary heart disease; CBVD = cerebrovascular disease; NR = not reported; avg = average; CI = confidence interval; NR = not reported; NO<sub>2</sub> = nitrogen dioxide; PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> = particulate matter with a nominal aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 µm and greater than a nominal diameter of 2.5 µm; SO<sub>2</sub> = sulfur dioxide.

Associations are presented per 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in pollutant concentration.



**Table S6-19. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-31**

Study	Location	Lag	Copollutant	Correlation	% Increase (95% CI)
†Samoli et al. (2013) <sup>a</sup>	10 European Med cities	0-5			0.33 (-0.78, 1.46)
			SO <sub>2</sub>	--	-0.09 (-1.3, 1.13)
			NO <sub>2</sub>	--	-0.17 (-1.27, 0.95)
			O <sub>3</sub>	--	0.21 (-1.11, 1.55)
			PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.19-0.68	-0.28 (-1.36, 0.81)
†Janssen et al. (2013)	Netherlands	3			1.80 (-0.20, 3.70)
			PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.29	1.70 (-0.30, 3.80)
†Lee et al. (2015a)	11 East Asian cities	0-1			0.69 (0.05, 1.33)
			SO <sub>2</sub>	--	0.46 (-0.09, 1.01)
			NO <sub>2</sub>	--	0.37 (-0.12, 0.87)
			O <sub>3</sub>	--	0.92 (0.14, 1.7)
			PM <sub>2.5</sub>	--	0.14 (-0.15, 0.43)
†Chen et al. (2011)	3 Chinese cities (CAPES)	1			0.25 (0.1, 0.39)
			PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.28-0.53	0.13 (-0.03, 0.29)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

<sup>a</sup>Copollutant results only presented for a lag of 0-5 days.

avg = average; CAPES = China Air Pollution and Health Effects Study; CI = confidence interval; NO<sub>2</sub> = nitrogen dioxide; NR = not reported; O<sub>3</sub> = ozone; PM<sub>2.5</sub> = particulate matter with a nominal aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 2.5 μm; SO<sub>2</sub> = sulfur dioxide.

% increases are standardized to a 10 μg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in 24-h average PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> concentrations.

**Table S6-20. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-34**

Study	Cohort	Outcome	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<a href="#">Miller et al. (2007)</a>	WHI- 36 sites, U.S.	CVD Events	2000	NR	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)
<a href="#">†Hart et al. (2015)</a>	NHS- 48 Contiguous, U.S.	CHD	1989-2006	8.7	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, 13 states, U.S.	MI	1988-2002	10.1	1.04 (0.90, 1.19)
<a href="#">†Cesaroni et al. (2014)</a>	ESCAPE, Europe	IHD	2008-2011	NR	1.06 (0.98, 1.15)
<a href="#">†Hoffmann et al. (2015)</a>	HNR Ruhr, Germany	Coronary Event	2008-2009		0.78 (0.33, 1.82)
<a href="#">†Tonne et al. (2015)</a>	MINAP, U.K.	MI Readmission	2003-2010	8.6	1.24 (0.95, 1.61)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CI = confidence interval; CTS = California Teachers Study; ESCAPE = European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution; HPFU = Health Professionals Follow-up Study; IHD = Ischemic Heart Disease; HNR = Heinz Nixdorf Recall study; MINAP = Myocardial Ischemia National Audit Project; MI = Myocardial Infarction; NR=not reported; NHS = Nurses' Health Study; WHI = Women's Health Initiative.

Associations are presented per 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

**Table S6-21. Corresponding risk estimates for studies presented in Figure 6-35**

Study	Cohort	Outcome	Years	Mean ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Relative Risk (95% CI)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, 13 states, U.S.	IS	1988-2002	10.1	1.10 (0.88, 1.37)
<a href="#">†Puett et al. (2011)</a>	HPFU, 13 states, U.S.	HS	1988-2002	10.1	0.85 (0.56, 1.31)
<a href="#">†Hart et al. (2015)</a>	NHS- 48 states, U.S.	Stroke	1989-2006	8.7	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)
<a href="#">†Hoffmann et al. (2015)</a>	HNR Ruhr, Germany	Stroke	2008-2009	--	2.53 (0.65, 9.84)
<a href="#">†Stafoggia et al. (2014)</a>	ESCAPE	Stroke	2008-2011	NR	1.02 (0.90, 1.16)

†Studies published since the 2009 PM Integrated Science Assessment.

CI = confidence interval; HS = hemorrhagic Stroke, IS = Ischemic Stroke, HPFU = Health Professionals Follow-up Study,

NHS = Nurses' Health Study, NHR = Heinz Nixdorf Recall, ESCAPE = European Study of Air Pollution Exposure.

Associations are presented per  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in pollutant concentration.

## References

- Atkinson, RW; Carey, IM; Kent, AJ; van Staa, TP; Anderson, HR; Cook, DG. (2013). Long-term exposure to outdoor air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular diseases. *Epidemiology* 24: 44-53.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e318276ccb8>.
- Barnett, AG; Williams, GM; Schwartz, J; Best, TL; Neller, AH; Petroeschevsky, AL; Simpson, RW. (2006). The effects of air pollution on hospitalizations for cardiovascular disease in elderly people in Australian and New Zealand cities. *Environ Health Perspect* 114: 1018-1023.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8674>.
- Basagaña, X; Jacquemin, B; Karanasiou, A; Ostro, B; Querol, X; Agis, D; Alessandrini, E; Alguacil, J; Artiñano, B; Catrambone, M; de La Rosa, JD; Díaz, J; Faustini, A; Ferrari, S; Forastiere, F; Katsouyanni, K; Linares, C; Perrino, C; Ranzi, A; Ricciardelli, I; Samoli, E; Zauli-Sajani, S; Sunyer, J; Stafoggia, M. (2015). Short-term effects of particulate matter constituents on daily hospitalizations and mortality in five South-European cities: Results from the MED-PARTICLES project. *Environ Int* 75: 151-158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.11.011>.
- Bell, ML; Ebisu, K; Leaderer, BP; Gent, JF; Lee, HJ; Koutrakis, P; Wang, Y; Dominici, F; Peng, RD. (2014). Associations of PM2.5 constituents and sources with hospital admissions: analysis of four counties in Connecticut and Massachusetts (USA) for persons 65 years of age. *Environ Health Perspect* 122: 138-144. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1306656>.
- Bell, ML; Ebisu, K; Peng, RD; Walker, J; Samet, JM; Zeger, SL; Dominic, F. (2008). Seasonal and regional short-term effects of fine particles on hospital admissions in 202 U.S. counties, 1999-2005. *Am J Epidemiol* 168: 1301-1310. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn252>.
- Bell, ML; Son, JY; Peng, RD; Wang, Y; Dominici, F. (2015). Brief report: Ambient PM2.5 and risk of hospital admissions: do risks differ for men and women? *Epidemiology* 26: 575-579.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000310>.
- Bravo, MA; Ebisu, K; Dominici, F; Wang, Y; Peng, RD; Bell, ML. (2017). Airborne fine particles and risk of hospital admissions for understudied populations: Effects by urbanicity and short-term cumulative exposures in 708 U.S. counties. *Environ Health Perspect* 125: 594-601.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP257>.
- Brook, RD; Kousha, T. (2015). Air pollution and emergency department visits for hypertension in Edmonton and Calgary, Canada: A case-crossover study. *Am J Hypertens* 28: 1121-1126.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ajh/hpu302>.
- Bunch, TJ; Horne, BD; Asirvatham, SJ; Day, JD; Crandall, BG; Weiss, JP; Osborn, JS; Anderson, JL; Muhlestein, JB; Lappe, DL; Pope, CA, III. (2011). Atrial fibrillation hospitalization is not increased with short-term elevations in exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 34: 1475-1479. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-8159.2011.03200.x>.
- Burnett, RT; Smith-Doiron, M; Stieb, D; Cakmak, S; Brook, JR. (1999). Effects of particulate and gaseous air pollution on cardiorespiratory hospitalizations. *Arch Environ Health* 54: 130-139.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0003989909602248>.
- Caussin, C; Escolano, S; Mustafic, H; Bataille, S; Tafflet, M; Chatignoux, E; Lambert, Y; Benamer, H; Garot, P; Jabre, P; Delorme, L; Varenne, O; Teiger, E; Livarek, B; Empana, JP; Spaulding, C; Jouven, X; Investigators, C-AR. (2015). Short-term exposure to environmental parameters and onset of ST elevation myocardial infarction. The CARDIO-ARSIF registry. *Int J Cardiol* 183: 17-23.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.01.078>.
- Cesaroni, G; Forastiere, F; Stafoggia, M; Andersen, ZJ; Badaloni, C; Beelen, R; Caracciolo, B; de Faire, U; Erbel, R; Eriksen, KT; Fratiglioni, L; Galassi, C; Hampel, R; Heier, M; Hennig, F; Hilding, A; Hoffmann, B; Houthuijs, D; Jöckel, KH; Korek, M; Lanki, T; Leander, K; Magnusson, PK; Migliore, E; Ostenson, CG; Overvad, K; Pedersen, NL; J, JP; Penell, J; Pershagen, G; Pyko, A; Raaschou-

- Nielsen, O; Ranzi, A; Ricceri, F; Sacerdote, C; Salomaa, V; Swart, W; Turunen, AW; Vineis, P; Weinmayr, G; Wolf, K; de Hoogh, K; Hoek, G; Brunekreef, B; Peters, A. (2014). Long term exposure to ambient air pollution and incidence of acute coronary events: prospective cohort study and meta-analysis in 11 European cohorts from the ESCAPE Project. *BMJ* 348: f7412.
- Chang, CC; Chen, PS; Yang, CY. (2015). Short-term effects of fine particulate air pollution on hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: a case-crossover study in a tropical city. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 78: 267-277. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2014.960044>.
- Chang, CC; Kuo, CC; Liou, SH; Yang, CY. (2013). Fine particulate air pollution and hospital admissions for myocardial infarction in a subtropical city: Taipei, Taiwan. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 76: 440-448. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2013.771559>.
- Chen, H; Burnett, RT; Copes, R; Kwong, JC; Villeneuve, PJ; Goldberg, MS; Brook, RD; van Donkelaar, A; Jerrett, M; Martin, RV; Brook, JR; Kopp, A; Tu, JV. (2016). Ambient fine particulate matter and mortality among survivors of myocardial infarction: population-based cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect* 124: 1421-1428. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP185>.
- Chen, L; Villeneuve, PJ; Rowe, BH; Liu, L; Stieb, DM. (2014). The Air Quality Health Index as a predictor of emergency department visits for ischemic stroke in Edmonton, Canada. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 24: 358-364. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jes.2013.82>.
- Chen, LH; Knutson, SF; Shavlik, D; Beeson, WL; Petersen, F; Ghamsary, M; Abbey, D. (2005). The association between fatal coronary heart disease and ambient particulate air pollution: Are females at greater risk? *Environ Health Perspect* 113: 1723-1729. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8190>.
- Chen, R; Li, Y; Ma, Y; Pan, G; Zeng, G; Xu, X; Chen, B; Kan, H. (2011). Coarse particles and mortality in three Chinese cities: the China Air Pollution and Health Effects Study (CAPES). *Sci Total Environ* 409: 4934-4938. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.08.058>.
- Chen, YC; Weng, YH; Chiu, YW; Yang, CY. (2015). Short-term effects of coarse particulate matter on hospital admissions for cardiovascular diseases: A case-crossover study in a tropical city. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 78: 1-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2015.1083520>.
- Chiu, H; Chang, CC; Yang, C. (2014). Relationship between hemorrhagic stroke hospitalization and exposure to fine particulate air pollution in Taipei, Taiwan. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 77: 1154-1163. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2014.926801>.
- Chiu, H; Tsai, SS; Weng, H; Yang, C. (2013). Short-Term Effects of Fine Particulate Air Pollution on Emergency Room Visits for Cardiac Arrhythmias: A Case-Crossover Study in Taipei. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 76: 614-623. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2013.801763>.
- Chiu, H; Yang, C. (2013). Short-term effects of fine particulate air pollution on ischemic stroke occurrence: a case-crossover study. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 76: 1188-1197. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2013.842463>.
- Claeys, MJ; Coenen, S; Colpaert, C; Bilcke, J; Beutels, P; Wouters, K; Legrand, V; Van Damme, P; Vrints, C. (2015). Environmental triggers of acute myocardial infarction: Results of a nationwide multiple-factorial population study. *Acta Cardiol* 70: 693-701. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2143/AC.70.6.3120182>.
- Crouse, DL; Peters, PA; Hystad, P; Brook, JR; van Donkelaar, A; Martin, RV; Villeneuve, PJ; Jerrett, M; Goldberg, MS; Pope, CA; Brauer, M; Brook, RD; Robichaud, A; Menard, R; Burnett, RT. (2015). Ambient PM 2.5, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub> exposures and associations with mortality over 16 years of follow-up in the Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort (CanCHEC). *Environ Health Perspect* 123: 1180-1186. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409276>.
- Crouse, DL; Peters, PA; van Donkelaar, A; Goldberg, MS; Villeneuve, PJ; Brion, O; Khan, S; Atari, DO; Jerrett, M; Pope, CA; Brauer, M; Brook, JR; Martin, RV; Stieb, D; Burnett, RT. (2012). Risk of nonaccidental and cardiovascular mortality in relation to long-term exposure to low

- concentrations of fine particulate matter: a Canadian national-level cohort study. Environ Health Perspect 120: 708-714. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104049>.
- Dai, L; Zanobetti, A; Koutrakis, P; Schwartz, JD. (2014). Associations of fine particulate matter species with mortality in the United States: a multicity time-series analysis. Environ Health Perspect 122: 837-842. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307568>.
- Dales, RE; Cakmak, S; Vidal, CB. (2010). Air pollution and hospitalization for venous thromboembolic disease in Chile. J Thromb Haemost 8: 669-674. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03760.x>.
- Dennekamp, M; Akram, M; Abramson, MJ; Tonkin, A; Sim, MR; Fridman, M; Erbas, B. (2010). Outdoor air pollution as a trigger for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. Epidemiology 21: 494-500. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181e093db>.
- Dominici, F; Peng, RD; Bell, ML; Pham, L; McDermott, A; Zeger, SL; Samet, JL. (2006). Fine particulate air pollution and hospital admission for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. JAMA 295: 1127-1134. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.295.10.1127>.
- Ensor, KB; Raun, LH; Persse, D. (2013). A case-crossover analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and air pollution. Circulation 127: 1192-1199. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.000027>.
- Franck, U; Odeh, S; Wiedensohler, A; Wehner, B; Herbarth, O. (2011). The effect of particle size on cardiovascular disorders - The smaller the worse. Sci Total Environ 409: 4217-4221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.049>.
- Fuks, KB; Weinmayr, G; Foraster, M; Dratva, J; Hampel, R; Houthuijs, D; Oftedal, B; Oudin, A; Panasevich, S; Penell, J; Sommar, JN; Sørensen, M; Tiittanen, P; Wolf, K; Xun, WW; Aguilera, I; Basagaña, X; Beelen, R; Bots, ML; Brunekreef, B; Bueno-De-Mesquita, HB; Caracciolo, B; Cirach, M; de Faire, U; de Nazelle, A; Eeftens, M; Elosua, R; Erbel, R; Forsberg, B; Fratiglioni, L; Gaspoz, JM; Hilding, A; Jula, A; Korek, M; Krämer, U; Künzli, N; Lanki, T; Leander, K; Magnusson, PK; Marrugat, J; Nieuwenhuijsen, MJ; Ostenson, CG; Pedersen, NL; Pershagen, G; Phuleria, HC; Probst-Hensch, NM; Raaschou-Nielsen, O; Schaffner, E; Schikowski, T; Schindler, C; Schwarze, PE; Søgaard, AJ; Sugiri, D; Swart, WJ; Tsai, MY; Turunen, AW; Vineis, P; Peters, A; Hoffmann, B. (2014). Arterial blood pressure and long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution: an analysis in the European study of cohorts for air pollution effects (ESCAPE) [Review]. Environ Health Perspect 122: 896-905. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307725>.
- Gan, W; Koehoorn, M; Davies, H; Demers, P; Tamburic, L; Brauer, M. (2011). Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and the risk of coronary heart disease hospitalization and mortality. Environ Health Perspect 119: 501-507. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002511>.
- Garcia, CA; Yap, PS; Park, HY; Weller, BL. (2015). Association of long-term PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure with mortality using different air pollution exposure models: impacts in rural and urban California. Int J Environ Health Res 26: 1-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09603123.2015.1061113>.
- Gardner, B; Ling, F; Hopke, PK; Frampton, MW; Utell, MJ; Zareba, W; Cameron, SJ; Chalupa, D; Kane, C; Kulandhaisamy, S; Topf, MC; Rich, DQ. (2014). Ambient fine particulate air pollution triggers ST-elevation myocardial infarction, but not non-ST elevation myocardial infarction: A case-crossover study. Part Fibre Toxicol 11: 1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-11-1>.
- Haley, VB; Talbot, TO; Felton, HD. (2009). Surveillance of the short-term impact of fine particle air pollution on cardiovascular disease hospitalizations in New York State. Environ Health 8: 42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-42>.
- Hart, JE; Garshick, E; Dockery, DW; Smith, TJ; Ryan, L; Laden, F. (2011). Long-term ambient multi-pollutant exposures and mortality. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 183: 73-78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200912-1903OC>.

- Hart, JE; Puett, RC; Rexrode, KM; Albert, CM; Laden, F. (2015). Effect modification of long-term air pollution exposures and the risk of incident cardiovascular disease in US women. *J Am Heart Assoc* 4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.002301>.
- Hartiala, J; Breton, CV; Tang, WH; Lurmann, F; Hazen, SL; Gilliland, FD; Allayee, H. (2016). Ambient air pollution is associated with the severity of coronary atherosclerosis and incident myocardial infarction in patients undergoing elective cardiac evaluation. *J Am Heart Assoc* 5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.003947>.
- Hoffmann, B; Weinmayr, G; Hennig, F; Fuks, K; Moebus, S; Weimar, C; Dragano, N; Hermann, DM; Kaelsch, H; Mahabadi, AA; Erbel, R; Joeckel, KH. (2015). Air quality, stroke, and coronary events results of the Heinz Nixdorf Recall study from the Ruhr region. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* 112: 195-U123. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2015.0195>.
- Hogrefe, C; Lynn, B; Goldberg, R; Rosenzweig, C; Zalewsky, E; Hao, W; Doraiswamy, P; Civerolo, K; Ku, JY; Sistla, G; Kinney, PL. (2009). A combined model-observation approach to estimate historic gridded fields of PM2.5 mass and species concentrations. *Atmos Environ* 43: 2561-2570. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.031>.
- Host, S; Larrieu, S; Pascal, L; Blanchard, M; Declercq, C; Fabre, P; Jusot, JF; Chardon, B; Le Tertre, A; Wagner, V; Prouvost, H; Lefranc, A. (2007). Short-term associations between fine and coarse particles and cardiorespiratory hospitalizations in six French cities. *Occup Environ Med* 18: S107-S108.
- Host, S; Larrieu, S; Pascal, L; Blanchard, M; Declercq, C; Fabre, P; Jusot, JF; Chardon, B; Le Tertre, A; Wagner, V; Prouvost, H; Lefranc, A. (2008). Short-term associations between fine and coarse particles and hospital admissions for cardiopulmonary diseases in six French cities. *Occup Environ Med* 65: 544-551. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.036194>.
- Hsieh, YL; Tsai, SS; Yang, CY. (2013). Fine particulate air pollution and hospital admissions for congestive heart failure: A case-crossover study in Taipei. *Inhal Toxicol* 25: 455-460. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/08958378.2013.804609>.
- Hsu, WH; Hwang, SA; Kinney, PL; Lin, S. (2017). Seasonal and temperature modifications of the association between fine particulate air pollution and cardiovascular hospitalization in New York state. *Sci Total Environ* 578: 626-632. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.008>.
- Ito, K. (2003). Associations of particulate matter components with daily mortality and morbidity in Detroit, Michigan, In: Revised analyses of time-series studies of air pollution and health. Special report (pp. 143-156). (R828112). Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute.
- Ito, K; Mathes, R; Ross, Z; Nádas, A; Thurston, G; Matte, T. (2011). Fine particulate matter constituents associated with cardiovascular hospitalizations and mortality in New York City. *Environ Health Perspect* 119: 467-473. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002667>.
- Jalaludin, B; Morgan, G; Lincoln, D; Sheppeard, V; Simpson, R; Corbett, S. (2006). Associations between ambient air pollution and daily emergency department attendances for cardiovascular disease in the elderly (65+ years), Sydney, Australia. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 16: 225-237. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.jea.7500451>.
- Janssen, NAH; Fischer, P; Marra, M; Ameling, C; Cassee, FR. (2013). Short-term effects of PM2.5, PM10 and PM2.5-10 on daily mortality in the Netherlands. *Sci Total Environ* 463: 20-26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.062>.
- Jerrett, M; Burnett, RT; Beckerman, BS; Turner, MC; Krewski, D; Thurston, G; Martin, RV; van Donkelaar, A; Hughes, E; Shi, Y; Gapstur, SM; Thun, MJ; Pope, CA, III. (2013). Spatial analysis of air pollution and mortality in California. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 188: 593-599. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201303-0609OC>.
- Jerrett, M; Finkelstein, MM; Brook, JR; Arain, MA; Kanaroglou, P; Stieb, DM; Gilbert, NL; Verma, D; Finkelstein, N; Chapman, KR; Sears, MR. (2009). A cohort study of traffic-related air pollution

- and mortality in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Environ Health Perspect 117: 772-777. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11533>.
- Kim, SY; Peel, JL; Hannigan, MP; Dutton, SJ; Sheppard, L; Clark, ML; Vedral, S. (2012). The temporal lag structure of short-term associations of fine particulate matter chemical constituents and cardiovascular and respiratory hospitalizations. Environ Health Perspect 120: 1094-1099. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104721>.
- Kloog, I; Coull, BA; Zanobetti, A; Koutrakis, P; Schwartz, JD. (2012). Acute and chronic effects of particles on hospital admissions in New-England. PLoS ONE 7: e34664. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034664>.
- Kloog, I; Nordio, F; Zanobetti, A; Coull, BA; Koutrakis, P; Schwartz, JD. (2014). Short term effects of particle exposure on hospital admissions in the Mid-Atlantic states: a population estimate. PLoS ONE 9: e88578. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088578>.
- Kloog, I; Zanobetti, A; Nordio, F; Coull, BA; Baccarelli, AA; Schwartz, J. (2015). Effects of airborne fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) on deep vein thrombosis admissions in the northeastern United States. J Thromb Haemost 13: 768-774. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jth.12873>.
- Koton, S; Molshatzki, N; Yuval, N; Myers, V; Broday, DM; Drory, Y; Steinberg, DM; Gerber, Y. (2013). Cumulative exposure to particulate matter air pollution and long-term post-myocardial infarction outcomes. Prev Med 57: 339-344. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.06.009>.
- Lall, R; Ito, K; Thurston, G. (2011). Distributed lag analyses of daily hospital admissions and source-apportioned fine particle air pollution. Environ Health Perspect 119: 455-460. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002638>.
- Lee, H; Honda, Y; Hashizume, M; Guo, YL; Wu, CF; Kan, H; Jung, K; Lim, YH; Yi, S; Kim, H. (2015a). Short-term exposure to fine and coarse particles and mortality: A multicity time-series study in East Asia. Environ Pollut 207: 43-51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.08.036>.
- Lee, M; Koutrakis, P; Coull, B; Kloog, I; Schwartz, J. (2015b). Acute effect of fine particulate matter on mortality in three Southeastern states from 2007-2011. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 26: 173-179. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.47>.
- Lepeule, J; Laden, F; Dockery, D; Schwartz, J. (2012). Chronic exposure to fine particles and mortality: an extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study from 1974 to 2009. Environ Health Perspect 120: 965-970. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104660>.
- Levy, D; Sheppard, L; Checkoway, H; Kaufman, J; Lumley, T; Koenig, J; Siscovick, D. (2001). A case-crossover analysis of particulate matter air pollution and out-of-hospital primary cardiac arrest. Epidemiology 12: 193-199.
- Lipsett, MJ; Ostro, BD; Reynolds, P; Goldberg, D; Hertz, A; Jerrett, M; Smith, DF; Garcia, C; Chang, ET; Bernstein, L. (2011). Long-term exposure to air pollution and cardiopulmonary disease in the California teachers study cohort. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 184: 828-835. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201012-2082OC>.
- Lisabeth, LD; Escobar, JD; Dvonch, JT; Sanchez, BN; Majersik, JJ; Brown, DL; Smith, MA; Morgenstern, LB. (2008). Ambient air pollution and risk for ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack. Ann Neurol 64: 53-59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.21403>.
- Madrigano, J; Kloog, I; Goldberg, T; Coull, BA; Mittleman, MA; Schwartz, J. (2013). Long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and incidence of acute myocardial infarction. Environ Health Perspect 121: 192-196. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205284>.
- Miller, KA; Siscovick, DS; Sheppard, L; Shepherd, K; Sullivan, JH; Anderson, GL; Kaufman, JD. (2007). Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. N Engl J Med 356: 447-458. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa054409>.
- Milojevic, A; Wilkinson, P; Armstrong, B; Bhaskaran, K; Smeeth, L; Hajat, S. (2014). Short-term effects of air pollution on a range of cardiovascular events in England and Wales: Case-crossover analysis

- of the MINAP database, hospital admissions and mortality. Heart 100: 1093-1098. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2013-304963>.
- Moolgavkar, SH. (2003). Air pollution and daily mortality in two US counties: season-specific analyses and exposure-response relationships. Inhal Toxicol 15: 877-907. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08958370390215767>.
- O'Donnell, MJ; Fang, J; Mittleman, MA; Kapral, MK; Wellenius, GA. (2011). Fine particulate air pollution (PM2.5) and the risk of acute ischemic stroke. Epidemiology 22: 422. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e3182126580>.
- Ostro, B; Hu, J; Goldberg, D; Reynolds, P; Hertz, A; Bernstein, L; Kleeman, MJ. (2015). Associations of mortality with long-term exposures to fine and ultrafine particles, species and sources: results from the California teachers study cohort. Environ Health Perspect 123: 549-556. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408565>.
- Ostro, B; Lipsett, M; Reynolds, P; Goldberg, D; Hertz, A; Garcia, C; Henderson, KD; Bernstein, L. (2010). Long-term exposure to constituents of fine particulate air pollution and mortality: Results from the California teachers study. Environ Health Perspect 118: 363-369. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901181>.
- Ostro, B; Malig, B; Hasheminassab, S; Berger, K; Chang, E; Sioutas, C. (2016). Associations of source-specific fine particulate matter with emergency department visits in California. Am J Epidemiol 184: 450-459. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv343>.
- Pascal, M; Falq, G; Wagner, V; Chatignoux, E; Corso, M; Blanchard, M; Host, S; Pascal, L; Larrieu, S. (2014). Short-term impacts of particulate matter (PM10, PM10-2.5, PM2.5) on mortality in nine French cities. Atmos Environ 95: 175-184. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.06.030>.
- Peng, R; Bell, M; Geyh, A; McDermott, A; Zeger, S; Samet, J; Dominici, F. (2009). Emergency admissions for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and the chemical composition of fine particle air pollution. Environ Health Perspect 117: 957-963. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0800185>.
- Peng, RD; Chang, HH; Bell, ML; McDermott, A; Zeger, SL; Samet, JM; Dominici, F. (2008). Coarse particulate matter air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases among Medicare patients. JAMA 299: 2172-2179. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.299.18.2172>.
- Pinault, L; Tjepkema, M; Crouse, DL; Weichenthal, S; van Donkelaar, A; Martin, RV; Brauer, M; Chen, H; Burnett, RT. (2016). Risk estimates of mortality attributed to low concentrations of ambient fine particulate matter in the Canadian community health survey cohort. Environ Health 15: 18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-0111-6>.
- Pope, CA, III; Muhlestein, JB; Anderson, JL; Cannon, JB; Hales, NM; Meredith, KG; Le, V; Horne, BD. (2015). Short-term exposure to fine particulate matter air pollution is preferentially associated with the risk of ST-segment elevation acute coronary events. J Am Heart Assoc 4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.002506>.
- Pope, CA; Turner, MC; Burnett, R; Jerrett, M; Gapstur, SM; Diver, WR; Krewski, D; Brook, RD. (2014). Relationships between fine particulate air pollution, cardiometabolic disorders and cardiovascular mortality. Circ Res 116: 108-U258. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.305060>.
- Puett, RC; Hart, JE; Suh, H; Mittleman, M; Laden, F. (2011). Particulate matter exposures, mortality and cardiovascular disease in the health professionals follow-up study. Environ Health Perspect 119: 1130-1135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002921>.
- Puett, RC; Hart, JE; Yanosky, JD; Paciorek, C; Schwartz, J; Suh, H; Speizer, FE; Laden, F. (2009). Chronic fine and coarse particulate exposure, mortality, and coronary heart disease in the Nurses' Health Study. Environ Health Perspect 117: 1697-1701. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900572>.

- Qiu, H; Yu, I; Wang, X; Tian, L; Tse, L; Wong, T. (2013). Differential effects of fine and coarse particles on daily emergency cardiovascular hospitalizations in Hong Kong. *Atmos Environ* 64: 296-302.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.060>.
- Rappold, A; Cascio, WE; Kilaru, VJ; Stone, SL; Neas, LM; Devlin, RB; Diaz-Sanchez, D. (2012). Cardio-respiratory outcomes associated with exposure to wildfire smoke are modified by measures of community health. *Environ Health* 11: 71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-71>.
- Raza, A; Bellander, T; Bero-Bedada, G; Dahlquist, M; Hollenberg, J; Jonsson, M; Lind, T; Rosenqvist, M; Svensson, L; Ljungman, PL. (2014). Short-term effects of air pollution on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Stockholm. *Eur Heart J* 35: 861-867. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eht489>.
- Rich, DQ; Kipen, HM; Zhang, J; Kamat, L; Wilson, AC; Kostis, JB. (2010). Triggering of transmural infarctions, but not nontransmural infarctions, by ambient fine particles. *Environ Health Perspect* 118: 1229-1234. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901624>.
- Rodopoulou, S; Chalbot, MC; Samoli, E; Dubois, DW; Filippo, B; Kavouras, IG. (2014). Air pollution and hospital emergency room and admissions for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in Doria Ana County, New Mexico. *Environ Res* 129: 39-46.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2013.12.006>.
- Rodopoulou, S; Samoli, E; Chalbot, MG; Kavouras, IG. (2015). Air pollution and cardiovascular and respiratory emergency visits in Central Arkansas: A time-series analysis. *Sci Total Environ* 536: 872-879. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.06.056>.
- Rosenthal, FS; Carney, JP; Olinger, ML. (2008). Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and airborne fine particulate matter: a case-crossover analysis of emergency medical services data in Indianapolis, Indiana. *Environ Health Perspect* 116: 631-636. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.10757>.
- Rosenthal, FS; Kuisma, M; Lanki, T; Hussein, T; Boyd, J; Halonen, JI; Pekkanen, J. (2013). Association of ozone and particulate air pollution with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Helsinki, Finland: evidence for two different etiologies. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 23: 281-288.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jes.2012.121>.
- Samoli, E; Atkinson, RW; Analitis, A; Fuller, GW; Green, DC; Mudway, I; Anderson, HR; Kelly, FJ. (2016). Associations of short-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution with cardiovascular and respiratory hospital admissions in London, UK. *Occup Environ Med* 73: 300-307.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2015-103136>.
- Samoli, E; Stafoggia, M; Rodopoulou, S; Ostro, B; Declercq, C; Alessandrini, E; Díaz, J; Karanasiou, A; Kelessis, AG; Le Tertre, A; Pandolfi, P; Randi, G; Scarinzi, C; Zauli-Sajani, S; Katsouyanni, K; Forastiere, F. (2013). Associations between fine and coarse particles and mortality in Mediterranean cities: Results from the MED-PARTICLES Project. *Environ Health Perspect* 121: 932-938. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206124>.
- Sarnat, SE; Winquist, A; Schauer, JJ; Turner, JR; Sarnat, JA. (2015). Fine particulate matter components and emergency department visits for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in the St. Louis, Missouri-Illinois, metropolitan area. *Environ Health Perspect* 123: 437-444.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307776>.
- Shah, AS; Lee, KK; Mcallister, DA; Hunter, A; Nair, H; Whiteley, W; Langrish, JP; Newby, DE; Mills, NL. (2015). Short term exposure to air pollution and stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis [Review]. *BMJ* 350: h1295. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h1295>.
- Shih, RA; Griffin, BA; Salkowski, N; Jewell, A; Eibner, C; Bird, CE; Liao, D; Cushman, M; Margolis, HG; Eaton, CB; Whitsel, EA. (2011). Ambient particulate matter air pollution and venous thromboembolism in the Women's Health Initiative Hormone Therapy trials. *Environ Health Perspect* 119: 326-331. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002256>.

- Silverman, RA; Ito, K; Freese, J; Kaufman, BJ; De Claro, D; Braun, J; Prezant, DJ. (2010). Association of ambient fine particles with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in New York City. Am J Epidemiol 172: 917-923. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq217>.
- Stafoggia, M; Cesaroni, G; Peters, A; Andersen, ZJ; Badaloni, C; Beelen, R; Caracciolo, B; Cyrys, J; de Faire, U; de Hoogh, K; Eriksen, KT; Fratiglioni, L; Galassi, C; Gigante, B; Havulinna, AS; Hennig, F; Hilding, A; Hoek, G; Hoffmann, B; Houthuijs, D; Korek, M; Lanki, T; Leander, K; Magnusson, PK; Meisinger, C; Migliore, E; Overvad, K; Ostenson, CG; Pedersen, NL; Pekkanen, J; Penell, J; Pershagen, G; Pundt, N; Pyko, A; Raaschou-Nielsen, O; Ranzi, A; Ricceri, F; Sacerdote, C; Swart, WJ; Turunen, AW; Vineis, P; Weimar, C; Weinmayr, G; Wolf, K; Brunekreef, B; Forastiere, F. (2014). Long-term exposure to ambient air pollution and incidence of cerebrovascular events: results from 11 European cohorts within the ESCAPE project. Environ Health Perspect 122: 919-925. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307301>.
- Stafoggia, M; Samoli, E; Alessandrini, E; Cadum, E; Ostro, B; Berti, G; Faustini, A; Jacquemin, B; Linares, C; Pascal, M; Randi, G; Ranzi, A; Stivanello, E; Forastiere, F. (2013). Short-term associations between fine and coarse particulate matter and hospitalizations in southern europe: Results from the MED-PARTICLES Project. Environ Health Perspect 121: 1026-1033. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206151>.
- Stieb, DM; Szyszkowicz, M; Rowe, BH; Leech, JA. (2009). Air pollution and emergency department visits for cardiac and respiratory conditions: A multi-city time-series analysis. Environ Health 8: 25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-25>.
- Straney, L; Finn, J; Dennekamp, M; Bremner, A; Tonkin, A; Jacobs, I. (2014). Evaluating the impact of air pollution on the incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the Perth Metropolitan Region: 2000-2010. J Epidemiol Community Health 68: 6-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech-2013-202955>.
- Sullivan, J; Ishikawa, N; Sheppard, L; Siscovick, D; Checkoway, H; Kaufman, J. (2003). Exposure to ambient fine particulate matter and primary cardiac arrest among persons with and without clinically recognized heart disease. Am J Epidemiol 157: 501-509. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg015>.
- Szyszkowicz, M. (2009). Air pollution and ED visits for chest pain. Am J Emerg Med 27: 165-168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2008.01.010>.
- Szyszkowicz, M; Rowe, BH; Brook, RD. (2012). Even low levels of ambient air pollutants are associated with increased emergency department visits for hypertension. Can J Cardiol 28: 360-366. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2011.06.011>.
- Talbott, EO; Rager, J. R.; Benson, S; Ann Brink, L; Bilonick, RA; Wu, C. (2014). A case-crossover analysis of the impact of PM2.5 on cardiovascular disease hospitalizations for selected CDC tracking states. Environ Res 134C: 455-465. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.06.018>.
- Thurston, GD; Ahn, J; Cromar, KR; Shao, Y; Reynolds, HR; Jerrett, M; Lim, CC; Shanley, R; Park, Y; Hayes, RB. (2016). Ambient particulate matter air pollution exposure and mortality in the NIH-AARP Diet and Health Cohort. Environ Health Perspect 124: 484-490. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509676>.
- Tonne, C; Halonen, JI; Beevers, SD; Dajnak, D; Gulliver, J; Kelly, FJ; Wilkinson, P; Anderson, HR. (2015). Long-term traffic air and noise pollution in relation to mortality and hospital readmission among myocardial infarction survivors. Int J Hyg Environ Health 219: 72-78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.09.003>.
- Turner, MC; Jerrett, M; Pope, A, III; Krewski, D; Gapstur, SM; Diver, WR; Beckerman, BS; Marshall, JD; Su, J; Crouse, DL; Burnett, RT. (2016). Long-term ozone exposure and mortality in a large prospective study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 193: 1134-1142. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201508-1633OC>.

- Villeneuve, PJ; Johnson, JY; Pasichnyk, D; Lowes, J; Kirkland, S; Rowe, BH. (2012). Short-term effects of ambient air pollution on stroke: Who is most vulnerable? *Sci Total Environ* 430: 193-201.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.05.002>.
- Wang, Y; Eliot, MN; Wellenius, GA. (2014). Short-term changes in ambient particulate matter and risk of stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis [Review]. *J Am Heart Assoc* 3.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.114.000983>.
- Weichenthal, S; Hatzopoulou, M; Goldberg, MS. (2014). Exposure to traffic-related air pollution during physical activity and acute changes in blood pressure, autonomic and micro-vascular function in women: A cross-over study. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 11: 70. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12989-014-0070-4>.
- Weichenthal, S; Lavigne, E; Evans, G; Pollitt, K; Burnett, RT. (2016). Ambient PM2.5 and risk of emergency room visits for myocardial infarction: Impact of regional PM2.5 oxidative potential: A case-crossover study. *Environ Health* 15: 46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-016-0129-9>.
- Wellenius, GA; Burger, MR; Coull, BA; Schwartz, J; Suh, HH; Koutrakis, P; Schlaug, G; Gold, DR; Mittleman, MA. (2012). Ambient air pollution and the risk of acute ischemic stroke. *Arch Intern Med* 172: 229-234. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.732>.
- Wichmann, J; Folke, F; Torp-Pedersen, C; Lippert, F; Ketzel, M; Ellermann, T; Loft, S. (2013). Out-of-hospital cardiac arrests and outdoor air pollution exposure in Copenhagen, Denmark. *PLoS ONE* 8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053684>.
- Wing, JJ; Adar, SD; Sánchez, BN; Morgenstern, LB; Smith, MA; Lisabeth, LD. (2015). Ethnic differences in ambient air pollution and risk of acute ischemic stroke. *Environ Res* 143: 62-67.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.09.031>.
- Yitshak Sade, M; Novack, V; Ifergane, G; Horev, A; Kloog, I. (2015). Air pollution and ischemic stroke among young adults. *Stroke* 46: 3348-3353. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.010992>.
- Zanobetti, A; Franklin, M; Koutrakis, P; Schwartz, J. (2009). Fine particulate air pollution and its components in association with cause-specific emergency admissions. *Environ Health* 8: 58.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-8-58>.
- Zanobetti, A; Schwartz, J. (2006). Air pollution and emergency admissions in Boston, MA. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 60: 890-895. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2005.039834>.
- Zemek, R; Szyszkowicz, M; Rowe, BH. (2010). Air pollution and emergency department visits for otitis media: a case-crossover study in Edmonton, Canada. *Environ Health Perspect* 118: 1631-1636.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901675>.